arXiv:1108.1219v1 [math.RT] 4 Aug 2011

BIDIAGONAL PAIRS, THE LIE ALGEBRA $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, AND THE QUANTUM GROUP $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

DARREN FUNK-NEUBAUER
Department of Mathematics and Physics
Colorado State University - Pueblo
2200 Bonforte Boulevard, Pueblo, CO 81001 USA
darren.funkneubauer@colostate-pueblo.edu

Abstract

Roughly speaking, a bidiagonal pair is a pair of diagonalizable linear transformations on a finite dimensional vector space, each of which acts in a bidiagonal fashion on the eigenspaces of the other. We associate to each bidiagonal pair a sequence of scalars called a parameter array. We present a classification of bidiagonal pairs up to isomorphism using this concept of a parameter array. The statement of this classification theorem does not explicitly mention the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ or the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. However, its proof makes use of the finite dimensional representation theory of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. In addition to the classification theorem we present four theorems which make explicit the relationship between bidiagonal pairs and $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.

Keywords: Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, quantum algebra, bidiagonal pair, tridiagonal pair, Leonard pair.

AMS classification code: Primary: 17B37; Secondary: 15A21, 15A30, 17B10, 81R50.

1 Introduction

In this paper we define a linear algebraic object called a bidiagonal pair and present a classification of these objects. Roughly speaking, a bidiagonal pair is a pair of diagonalizable linear transformations on a finite dimensional vector space, each of which acts in a bidiagonal fashion on the eigenspaces of the other (see Definition 2.2 for the precise definition). Bidiagonal pairs appear implicitly in [10, 16, 26]. The concept of a bidiagonal pair was motivated by the concept of tridiagonal pairs and Leonard pairs. Roughly speaking, a tridiagonal pair is a pair of diagonalizable linear transformations on a finite dimensional vector space, each of which acts in a tridiagonal fashion on the eigenspaces of the other [22, Definition 1.1]. A Leonard pair is a tridiagonal pair in which all the eigenspaces of both linear transformations have dimension 1.

Tridiagonal pairs originally arose in algebraic combinatorics through the study of a combinatorial object called a P- and Q-polynomial association scheme [6, 22, 41, 65]. Since then they have appeared in many other areas of mathematics. For instance, tridiagonal pairs are connected to representation theory [2, 10, 17, 19, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 33, 38, 39, 40, 61, 62, 63, 67, 76], orthogonal polynomials and special functions [66, 70, 74], partially ordered sets [64, 69], statistical mechanics [7, 8, 9, 20], and classical mechanics [80]. The Leonard pairs were classified in [66, 71] and correspond to a family of orthogonal polynomials consisting of the q-Racah polynomials and related polynomials in the terminating branch of the Askey scheme [5, 37, 70]. For further information on tridiagonal pairs and Leonard pairs see [1, 3, 13, 14, 18, 23, 32, 34, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 68, 72, 73, 77, 78, 79].

Associated to each bidiagonal pair is a sequence of scalars called a parameter array (see Definition 2.8). The main result of this paper is a theorem which classifies the bidiagonal pairs up to isomorphism using the concept of a parameter array (see Theorem 5.1). The statement of this classification theorem does not make it clear how to *construct* the bidiagonal pairs in each isomorphism class. We present four theorems which show how to construct the bidiagonal pairs in each isomorphism class using the finite dimensional modules for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. The finite dimensional modules for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ are well known (see Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 4.5, 4.6). This construction of bidiagonal pairs makes use of the equitable basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and the equitable presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

The equitable basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ was first introduced in [20] as part of the study of the tetrahedron Lie algebra [20, Definition 1.1]. The tetrahedron algebra has been used in the ongoing investigation of tridiagonal pairs and is closely related to a number of well known Lie algebras including the Onsager algebra [20, Proposition 4.7], the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra [20, Proposition 5.7], and the three point $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra [20, Proposition 6.5]. For more information on the tetrahedron algebra see [11, 15, 19, 29]. For more information on the equitable basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ see [4, 12].

The equitable presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ was first introduced in [35]. This presentation of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ was used in the study of the q-tetrahedron algebra [25]. The q-tetrahedron algebra has been used in the ongoing investigation of tridiagonal pairs and is closely related to a number of well known algebras including the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ loop algebra [25, Proposition 8.3], and positive part of $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ [25, Proposition 9.4]. For more information on the q-tetrahedron algebra see [17, 24, 30, 31]. We note that there exists an equitable presentation for the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is any symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra [75].

2 Bidiagonal pairs

In this section we present the definition of a bidiagonal pair and make some observations about this definition.

For the remainder of this paper $\mathbb{K}$ will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

We present the following lemma in order to motivate the definition of a bidiagonal pair.

Lemma 2.1 *Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Let $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ denote linear transformations and let $[A, A^*] = AA^* - A^*A$. Suppose there exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, both*

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_i^* + V_{i+1}^*,$$
$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_i + V_{i+1},$$

where $V_{d+1} = 0$, $V_{d+1}^* = 0$. Then for $0 \leq i \leq d$, the restriction $[A, A^*]|_{V_i^*}$ maps V_i^* into V_{i+1}^*, and the restriction $[A, A^*]|_{V_i}$ maps V_i into V_{i+1}.

Proof: Let θ_i^* (resp. θ_{i+1}^*) denote the eigenvalue of A^* corresponding to V_i^* (resp. V_{i+1}^*). Let $x \in V_i^*$. By our assumption there exists $y \in V_i^*$ and $z \in V_{i+1}^*$ such that $Ax = y + z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}[A, A^*]x &= (\theta_i^* - A^*)Ax \\ &= (\theta_i^* - A^*)y + (\theta_i^* - A^*)z \\ &= (\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)z.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[A, A^*]x \in V_{i+1}^*$ and so the restriction $[A, A^*]|_{V_i^*}$ maps V_i^* into V_{i+1}^*. The proof that the restriction $[A, A^*]|_{V_i}$ maps V_i into V_{i+1} is similar. □

Definition 2.2 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *bidiagonal pair on V*, we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy the following three conditions:

(i) Each of A, A^* is diagonalizable.

(ii) There exists an ordering $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, both

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_i^* + V_{i+1}^*, \tag{1}$$
$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_i + V_{i+1}, \tag{2}$$

where $V_{d+1} = 0$, $V_{d+1}^* = 0$.

(iii) For $0 \leq i \leq d/2$ the restrictions

$$[A, A^*]^{d-2i}|_{V_i^*} : V_i^* \to V_{d-i}^*, \tag{3}$$
$$[A, A^*]^{d-2i}|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_{d-i} \tag{4}$$

are bijections, where $[A, A^*] = AA^* - A^*A$.

We call V the *vector space underlying* A, A^* and say A, A^* is *over* $\mathbb{K}$. We call d the *diameter* of A, A^*.

Note 2.3 According to a common notational convention, A^* denotes the conjugate transpose of A. We are not using this convention. In a bidiagonal pair A, A^* the linear transformations A and A^* are arbitrary subject to (i)–(iii) above.

Lemma 2.4 *With reference to Definition 2.2 for $0 \leq i < d/2$ (resp. $d/2 \leq i \leq d$) and $0 \leq j \leq d-2i$ (resp. $0 \leq j \leq d-i$), the restrictions*

$$[A, A^*]^j|_{V_i^*} : V_i^* \to V_{i+j}^*,$$
$$[A, A^*]^j|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_{i+j}$$

are injections (resp. surjections).

Proof: Immediate from Definition 2.2(iii). □

An ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) is called *standard* whenever this ordering satisfies (2) (resp. (1)). If $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) is a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*) then no other ordering of $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) is standard. Thus, the bidiagonal pair A, A^* uniquely determines the standard orderings of the eigenspaces of A and A^*. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote the standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*). For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let θ_i (resp. θ_i^*) denote the eigenvalue of A (resp. A^*) corresponding to V_i (resp. V_i^*). We call $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) the *eigenvalue* (resp. *dual eigenvalue*) *sequence* of A, A^*.

For the remainder of this section we adopt the following assumption. Let A, A^* denote a bidiagonal pair on V and let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote the standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*). Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote the eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence of A, A^*.

Lemma 2.5 *For $0 \leq i \leq d$, we have*

$$(A - \theta_i I)V_i^* \subseteq V_{i+1}^*, \tag{5}$$
$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)V_i \subseteq V_{i+1}. \tag{6}$$

We postpone the proof of Lemma 2.5 until Section 6.

Lemma 2.6 *For $0 \leq i \leq d$, the spaces V_i, V_{d-i}, V_i^*, V_{d-i}^* all have the same dimension.*

Proof: Using (6) we see that, with respect to an appropriate basis for V, A^* can be represented as a lower triangular matrix that has diagonal entries $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$, with θ_i^* appearing $\dim(V_i)$ times. Hence, the multiplicity of θ_i^* as a root of the characteristic polynomial of A^* is $\dim(V_i)$. However, since A^* is diagonalizable then the multiplicity of θ_i^* as a root of the characteristic polynomial of A^* is also $\dim(V_i^*)$. Therefore, $\dim(V_i) = \dim(V_i^*)$. Combining this with Definition 2.2(iii) we obtain the result. □

Definition 2.7 With reference to Lemma 2.6, for $0 \leq i \leq d$ we let ρ_i denote the common dimension of V_i, V_{d-i}, V_i^*, V_{d-i}^*. We refer to the sequence $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d$ as the *shape* of A, A^*.

We now define the notion of the parameter array of A, A^* which will be used in stating our main result.

Definition 2.8 By the *parameter array* of A, A^* we mean the sequence $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta^*_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ where $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta^*_i\}_{i=0}^d$) is the eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence of A, A^* and $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is the shape of A, A^*.

Lemma 2.9 *Let $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta^*_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote the parameter array of A, A^*. Let p, q, r, s denote scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ with both p, r nonzero. Then $pA + qI, rA^* + sI$ is a bidiagonal pair on V with parameter array $(\{p\theta_i + q\}_{i=0}^d; \{r\theta^*_i + s\}_{i=0}^d; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d)$.*

Proof: For $v \in V$ we have $Av = \theta_i v$ if and only if $(pA + qI)v = (p\theta_i + q)v$. Thus, $p\theta_i + q$ is an eigenvalue of $pA + qI$, and V_i is the eigenspace of $pA + qI$ corresponding to $p\theta_i + q$. Since A is diagonalizable then $V = \sum_{i=0}^d V_i$ (direct sum), and so $pA + qI$ is diagonalizable. Similarly, $r\theta^*_i + s$ is an eigenvalue of $rA^* + sI$, V^*_i is the eigenspace of $rA^* + sI$ corresponding to $r\theta^*_i + s$, and $rA^* + sI$ is diagonalizable. It is immediate from (1) (resp. (2)) that $(pA + qI)V^*_i \subseteq V^*_i + V^*_{i+1}$ (resp. $(rA^* + sI)V_i \subseteq V_i + V_{i+1}$). Observe $[pA + qI, rA^* + sI] = pr[A, A^*]$. From this and (3) (resp. (4)) we have $[pA+qI, rA^*+sI]^{d-2i}|_{V^*_i} : V^*_i \to V^*_{d-i}$ (resp. $[pA+qI, rA^*+sI]^{d-2i}|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_{d-i}$) are bijections. We have now shown $pA+qI, rA^*+sI$ is a bidiagonal pair on V and $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V^*_i\}_{i=0}^d$) is a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of $pA + qI$ (resp. $rA^* + sI$). Thus, $pA + qI, rA^* + sI$ has eigenvalue sequence $\{p\theta_i + q\}_{i=0}^d$, dual eigenvalue sequence $\{r\theta^*_i + s\}_{i=0}^d$, and shape $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d$. $\square$

We now define the notion of isomorphism for bidiagonal pairs.

Definition 2.10 Let A, A^* and B, B^* denote bidiagonal pairs over $\mathbb{K}$. Let V (resp. $\widetilde{V}$) denote the vector space underlying A, A^* (resp. B, B^*). By an *isomorphism of bidiagonal pairs from A, A^* to B, B^** we mean a vector space isomorphism $\mu : V \to \widetilde{V}$ such that $\mu A = B\mu$ and $\mu A^* = B^*\mu$. We say A, A^* and B, B^* are *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of bidiagonal pairs from A, A^* to B, B^*.

Lemma 2.11 *Let A, A^* and B, B^* denote bidiagonal pairs over $\mathbb{K}$. Then A, A^* and B, B^* are isomorphic if and only if the parameter array of A, A^* equals the parameter array of B, B^*.*

We postpone the proof of Lemma 2.11 until Section 13.

We now define the notion of affine equivalence which will be used in stating our main results.

Definition 2.12 Let A, A^* and B, B^* denote bidiagonal pairs over $\mathbb{K}$. We say A, A^* is *affine equivalent* to B, B^* if there exist scalars $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{K}$ with both p, r nonzero such that A, A^* and $pB + qI, rB^* + sI$ are isomorphic.

The notion of affine equivalence is an equivalence relation on bidiagonal pairs. If two bidiagonal pairs are isomorphic then they are in the same affine equivalence class.

3 The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$

In this section we recall $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ and its finite dimensional modules.

Definition 3.1 We let $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ denote the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ that has a basis h, e, f and Lie bracket

$$[h, e] = 2e, \qquad [h, f] = -2f, \qquad [e, f] = h.$$

Theorem 3.2 *[20, Lemma 3.2] The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ that has basis X, Y, Z and Lie bracket*

$$[X, Y] = 2X + 2Y, \qquad [Y, Z] = 2Y + 2Z, \qquad [Z, X] = 2Z + 2X. \tag{7}$$

An isomorphism with the presentation in Definition 3.1 is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} X &\rightarrow 2e - h, \\ Y &\rightarrow -2f - h, \\ Z &\rightarrow h. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of this isomorphism is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} e &\rightarrow (X + Z)/2, \\ f &\rightarrow -(Y + Z)/2, \\ h &\rightarrow Z. \end{aligned}$$

By an *equitable basis* for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ we mean a basis X, Y, Z that satisfies (7).

Definition 3.3 Given an ordered pair Y, Z of elements in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, we call this pair *equitable* whenever there exists an element X in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ such that X, Y, Z is an equitable basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$.

Note 3.4 From Theorem 3.2 it is clear that there is a Lie algebra automorphism ψ of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ of order 3 such that

$$\psi(X) = Y, \qquad \psi(Y) = Z, \qquad \psi(Z) = X.$$

The following two lemmas give a description of all finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules.

Lemma 3.5 *[21, Theorem 6.3] Each finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module V is completely reducible; this means that V is a direct sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules.*

The finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules are described as follows.

Lemma 3.6 *[21, Theorem 7.2] There exists a family of finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules*

$$V(d), \qquad d = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

with the following properties: $V(d)$ has a basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ such that $h.v_i = (d-2i)v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $f.v_i = (i+1)v_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ where $v_{d+1} = 0$, $e.v_i = (d-i+1)v_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ where $v_{-1} = 0$. Moreover, every finite dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module is isomorphic to exactly one of the modules $V(d)$.

Lemma 3.7 *Let V denote an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module with finite positive dimension (not necessarily irreducible). Define the following two subspaces of V:*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{even} &= span\{\, v \in V \mid h.v = i\, v,\ \ i \in \mathbb{Z},\, i\, even \,\}, \\ V_{odd} &= span\{\, v \in V \mid h.v = i\, v,\ \ i \in \mathbb{Z},\, i\, odd \,\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $V = V_{even} + V_{odd}$ (direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules).

Proof: By construction each of V_{even} and V_{odd} are invariant under the action of h. Using $[h, e] = 2e$ and $[h, f] = -2f$ we find each of V_{even} and V_{odd} is invariant under the action of e and f. Thus the subspaces V_{even} and V_{odd} are both $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-submodules of V. Combining Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 we find the action of h on V is diagonalizable and all the eigenvalues of this action are integers. So V is the direct sum of eigenspaces for the action of h on V, and the result follows from this. □

The following definition will be used in stating our main results.

Definition 3.8 Let V denote an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module with finite positive dimension. With reference to Lemma 3.7 we say V is *segregated* whenever $V = V_{even}$ or $V = V_{odd}$.

4 The quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

In this section we recall $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its finite dimensional modules.

In this section we assume q is a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ which is not a root of unity. For a nonnegative integer n we define

$$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Definition 4.1 Let $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ denote the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with generators k, k^{-1}, e, f and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} kk^{-1} &= k^{-1}k = 1, \\ ke &= q^2 ek, \\ kf &= q^{-2} fk, \\ ef - fe &= \frac{k - k^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.2 *[35, Theorem 2.1] The algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is isomorphic to the unital associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with generators x, x^{-1}, y, z and the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} xx^{-1} = x^{-1}x &= 1, \\ \frac{qxy - q^{-1}yx}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1, \\ \frac{qyz - q^{-1}zy}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1, \\ \frac{qzx - q^{-1}xz}{q - q^{-1}} &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

An isomorphism with the presentation in Definition 4.1 is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow k^{\pm 1}, \\ y &\rightarrow k^{-1} + f(q - q^{-1}), \\ z &\rightarrow k^{-1} - k^{-1}eq(q - q^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of this isomorphism is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} k^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow x^{\pm 1}, \\ f &\rightarrow (y - x^{-1})(q - q^{-1}), \\ e &\rightarrow (1 - xz)q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By the *equitable presentation* for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ we mean the presentation given in Theorem 4.2. We call x, x^{-1}, y, z the *equitable generators* for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Definition 4.3 Given an ordered pair y, z of elements in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, we call this pair *equitable* whenever there exists elements x, x^{-1} in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ such that x, x^{-1}, y, z are equitable generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 4.4 *[35, Theorem 7.5] Let x, y, z be the equitable generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. There exists an invertible linear operator Ω that acts on finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules, and satisfies:*

$$\Omega^{-1}\, x\, \Omega = y, \qquad \Omega^{-1}\, y\, \Omega = z, \qquad \Omega^{-1}\, z\, \Omega = x.$$

The following two lemmas give a description of all finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.

Lemma 4.5 *[36, Theorem 2.3, 2.9] Each finite dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module V is completely reducible; this means that V is a direct sum of irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.*

The finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules are described as follows.

Lemma 4.6 *[36, Theorem 2.6] There exists a family of finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules*

$$V(d, \epsilon) \qquad \epsilon \in \{1, -1\}, \qquad d = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$$

with the following properties: $V(d, \epsilon)$ has a basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ such that $k.v_i = \epsilon q^{d-2i} v_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $f.v_i = [i+1]v_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ where $v_{d+1} = 0$, $e.v_i = \epsilon[d-i+1]v_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$ where $v_{-1} = 0$. Moreover, every finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module is isomorphic to exactly one of the modules $V(d, \epsilon)$.

Lemma 4.7 *Let V denote a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module with finite positive dimension (not necessarily irreducible). For $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ define the following four subspaces of V:*

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\epsilon}_{even} &= span\{\, v \in V \,|\, k.v = \epsilon\, q^{i}\, v,\ i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i\, even\, \}, \\ V^{\epsilon}_{odd} &= span\{\, v \in V \,|\, k.v = \epsilon\, q^{i}\, v,\ i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i\, odd\, \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $V = V^{1}_{even} + V^{-1}_{even} + V^{1}_{odd} + V^{-1}_{odd}$ (direct sum of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules).

Proof: Let $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. By construction each of V^{ϵ}_{even} and V^{ϵ}_{odd} are invariant under the action of k and k^{-1}. Using $ke = q^2 ek$ and $kf = q^{-2} fk$ we find each of V^{ϵ}_{even} and V^{ϵ}_{odd} are invariant under the action of e and f. Thus the subspaces V^{ϵ}_{even} and V^{ϵ}_{odd} are both $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodules of V. Combining Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6 we find the action of k on V is diagonalizable and all the eigenvalues of this action are plus or minus integer powers of q. So V is the direct sum of eigenspaces for the action of k on V, and the result follows from this. $\Box$

The following definition will be used in stating our main results.

Definition 4.8 Let V denote a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module with finite positive dimension. With reference to Lemma 4.7 we say V is *segregated* whenever $V = V^1_{even}$ or $V = V^1_{odd}$.

5 The main theorems

The five theorems in this section make up the main results of the paper. The following theorem provides a classification of bidiagonal pairs up to isomorphism.

Theorem 5.1 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let*

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\theta^*_i\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}) \tag{8}$$

denote a sequence of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$. Then there exists a bidiagonal pair A, A^* over $\mathbb{K}$ with parameter array (8) if and only if (i)–(v) hold below.

(i) $\theta_i \neq \theta_j,\ \theta^*_i \neq \theta^*_j, \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq d.$

(ii) The expressions

$$\frac{\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i}{\theta_i - \theta_{i-1}}, \qquad \frac{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1}}{\theta^*_{i+1} - \theta^*_i}$$

are equal and independent of i if $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.

(iii) ρ_i *is a positive integer* $\quad 0 \leq i \leq d$.

(iv) $\rho_i = \rho_{d-i} \quad 0 \leq i \leq d.$

(v) $\rho_i \leq \rho_{i+1} \quad 0 \leq i < d/2.$

Suppose (i)–(v) hold. Then A, A^* is unique up to isomorphism of bidiagonal pairs.

Note 5.2 With reference to Theorem 5.1, for $d = 0$ we regard conditions (i), (ii), (v) as holding since they are vacuously true. Similarly, for $d = 1$ we regard condition (ii) as holding since it is vacuously true.

It is not clear from the statement of Theorem 5.1 how bidiagonal pairs are related to finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules. Also, it is not clear from Theorem 5.1 how the bidiagonal pairs in each isomorphism class are constructed. The next four theorems address these issues.

Theorem 5.3 *Let* A, A^* *denote a bidiagonal pair. Then there exists a sequence of scalars* $b, \alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma$ *in* $\mathbb{K}$ *with* b *nonzero such that*

$$AA^* - bA^*A - \alpha A - \alpha^* A^* - \gamma I = 0. \tag{9}$$

This sequence of scalars is uniquely determined by the pair A, A^* *provided* $d \geq 2$.

Note 5.4 See Lemma 8.1 for a description of how the scalars $b, \alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma$ are related to the eigenvalues of A and A^*.

Definition 5.5 We call the scalar b from (9) the *base* of the bidiagonal pair A, A^*. By Theorem 5.3 the base of A, A^* is well defined for $d \geq 2$. For $d \leq 1$, (9) can be shown to hold when b is any nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ (see the proof of Theorem 5.3 in Section 8). We adopt the convention that $b = 1$ for $d \leq 1$. With this convention the base is well defined for all nonnegative d.

Note 5.6 Let b denote the base of A, A^*. Whenever $b \neq 1$ we let $q \in \mathbb{K}$ denote a root of the polynomial $\lambda^2 - b^{-1} \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$. The scalar q exists since $\mathbb{K}$ is algebraically closed. Observe $q \neq 0$ and so $b = q^{-2}$. By construction b uniquely determines q up to ± 1.

The following definition will be used to state the next three theorems.

Definition 5.7 Let A, A^* denote a bidiagonal pair of diameter d, and let b denote its base. We say A, A^* is *reduced* if either (i) or (ii) holds.

(i) $b = 1$ and the eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence of A, A^* is $\{2i - d\}_{i=0}^{d}$ (resp. $\{d - 2i\}_{i=0}^{d}$).

(ii) $b \neq 1$ and the eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence of A, A^* is $\{q^{d-2i}\}_{i=0}^{d}$ (resp. $\{q^{2i-d}\}_{i=0}^{d}$).

Theorem 5.8 *Every bidiagonal pair is affine equivalent to a reduced bidiagonal pair.*

Note 5.9 We make the following observation in order to motivate the next two theorems. Let A, A^* denote a reduced bidiagonal pair, and let b denote its base. It is shown in Section 12 that for $b = 1$, (9) takes the form

$$AA^* - A^*A - 2A - 2A^* = 0,$$

and for $b \neq 1$, (9) takes the form

$$qAA^* - q^{-1}A^*A - (q - q^{-1})I = 0.$$

The following two theorems explain the connection between reduced bidiagonal pairs and finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.

Theorem 5.10 *Let V denote a segregated $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module (resp. segregated $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module). Then each equitable pair in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (resp. $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$) acts on V as a reduced bidiagonal pair with base 1 (resp. base not equal to 1).*

The next theorem can be thought of as the converse of Theorem 5.10.

Theorem 5.11 *Let A, A^* denote a reduced bidiagonal pair on V, and let b denote its base. Then the following (i),(ii) hold.*

- *(i) Suppose $b = 1$. Let Y, Z denote an equitable pair in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Then there exists a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structure on V such that $(Y - A)V = 0$ and $(Z - A^*)V = 0$. The action of X on V is uniquely determined by A, A^*. This $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structure on V is segregated.*
- *(ii) Suppose $b \neq 1$. Let y, z denote an equitable pair in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Then there exists a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V such that $(y-A)V = 0$ and $(z-A^*)V = 0$. The action of $x^{\pm 1}$ on V is uniquely determined by A, A^*. This $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V is segregated.*

Theorem 5.8 and Theorem 5.11 together show that every bidiagonal pair is affine equivalent to a bidiagonal pair of the type constructed in Theorem 5.10.

6 Preliminaries

In this section we develop some more consequences of Definition 2.2, and prove Lemma 2.5.

Throughout the remainder of the paper we will refer to the following assumption.

Assumption 6.1 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension and let A, A^* denote a bidiagonal pair on V. Let $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A (resp. A^*). Let $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ denote the parameter array of A, A^*.

Note 6.2 With reference to Assumption 6.1, it is immediate from Definition 2.2 that A^*, A is a bidiagonal pair on V with parameter array $(\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d)$.

Definition 6.3 Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. By a *decomposition* of V we mean a sequence $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$ consisting of nonzero subspaces of V such that $V = \sum_{i=0}^d U_i$ (direct sum). For notational convenience we set $U_{-1} := 0, U_{d+1} := 0$.

Referring to Assumption 6.1 the sequences $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ are both decompositions of V.

The following definition will be used throughout the paper.

Definition 6.4 With reference to Assumption 6.1 we define for $0 \leq i \leq d/2$,

$$H_i = \{\, v \in V_i \mid [A, A^*]^{d-2i+1} v = 0 \,\}, \tag{10}$$

$$H_i^* = \{\, v \in V_i^* \mid [A, A^*]^{d-2i+1} v = 0 \,\}. \tag{11}$$

The following two lemmas will be used in proving Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 6.5 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 6.4 for* $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$V_i = \sum_{j=0}^{min(i,d-i)} [A, A^*]^{i-j} H_j \qquad (direct\ sum), \tag{12}$$

$$V_i^* = \sum_{j=0}^{min(i,d-i)} [A, A^*]^{i-j} H_j^* \qquad (direct\ sum). \tag{13}$$

Proof: First we prove (12).
Case 1: $0 \leq i \leq d/2$. The proof is by induction on i. Observe the result holds for $i = 0$ since $V_0 = H_0$. Next assume $i \geq 1$. By induction and Lemma 2.4 we find

$$[A, A^*]V_{i-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} [A, A^*]^{i-j} H_j \qquad (direct\ sum). \tag{14}$$

We now show

$$V_i = [A, A^*]V_{i-1} + H_i \qquad (direct\ sum). \tag{15}$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and (10), we have $[A, A^*]V_{i-1} + H_i \subseteq V_i$. We now show $V_i \subseteq [A, A^*]V_{i-1} + H_i$. Let $x \in V_i$. By Lemma 2.1 and (4) there exists $y \in V_{i-1}$ such that $[A, A^*]^{d-2i+2}y = [A, A^*]^{d-2i+1}x$. Using this we find $x - [A, A^*]y \in H_i$. So $x \in [A, A^*]V_{i-1} + H_i$. We have now shown equality in (15). It remains to show that the sum in (15) is direct. To do this we show $[A, A^*]V_{i-1} \cap H_i = 0$. Let $x \in [A, A^*]V_{i-1} \cap H_i$. By (10) we have $[A, A^*]^{d-2i+1}x = 0$. Also, there exists $y \in V_{i-1}$ such that $x = [A, A^*]y$. Combining these facts with (4) we find $y = 0$ and then $x = 0$. We have now shown the sum in (15) is direct and this completes the proof of (15). Combining (14) and (15) we find

$$V_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} [A, A^*]^{i-j} H_j \qquad (direct\ sum).$$

Case 2: $d/2 < i \leq d$. This case follows immediately from Case 1 and (4).

We have now shown (12). From (12) and Note 6.2 we immediately obtain (13). $\square$

Lemma 6.6 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 for* $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$V_i + \cdots + V_d = V_i^* + \cdots + V_d^*.$$

Proof: For $d \leq 1$ the result follows immediately from Definition 2.2, so assume $d \geq 2$. First we show for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $V_i + \cdots + V_d \subseteq V_i^* + \cdots + V_d^*$. Suppose, toward a contradiction, there exists an i such that $V_i + \cdots + V_d \not\subseteq V_i^* + \cdots + V_d^*$. Define $t := \min\{\, i \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq$

d, $V_i+\cdots+V_d \not\subseteq V_i^*+\cdots+V_d^* \}$ and $r := \max\{ i \mid 0 \le i \le d,\ V_i+\cdots+V_d \not\subseteq V_i^*+\cdots+V_d^* \}$. We now show

$$1 \le t \le d/2. \tag{16}$$

Since $V_0+\cdots+V_d \subseteq V = V_0^*+\cdots+V_d^*$ we have $t \ge 1$. Now suppose, toward a contradiction, that $t > d/2$. By (10) and the minimality of t we have for $0 \le k \le d-t$, $H_k \subseteq V_k+\cdots+V_d \subseteq V_k^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. From this and Lemma 2.1 we have for $t \le j \le d$, $\sum_{k=0}^{d-j}[A,A^*]^{j-k}H_k \subseteq V_j^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. From this and (12) we have $V_t+\cdots+V_d = \sum_{j=t}^{d}\sum_{k=0}^{d-j}[A,A^*]^{j-k}H_k \subseteq V_t^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. This contradicts the definition of t and so $t \le d/2$. We have now shown (16). We now show

$$t \le r \le d-t. \tag{17}$$

It is immediate from the construction that $t \le r$. We now show $r \le d-t$. To do this we show for $1 \le s \le t$,

$$V_{d-t+s}+\cdots+V_d \subseteq V_{d-t+s}^*+\cdots+V_d^*. \tag{18}$$

By (10) and the minimality of t we have for $0 \le k \le t-1$, $H_k \subseteq V_k+\cdots+V_d \subseteq V_k^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. From this and Lemma 2.1 we have for $s \le j \le t$, $\sum_{k=0}^{t-j}[A,A^*]^{d-t+j-k}H_k \subseteq V_{d-t+j}^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. From this and (12) we have $V_{d-t+s}+\cdots+V_d = \sum_{j=s}^{t} V_{d-t+j} = \sum_{j=s}^{t}\sum_{k=0}^{t-j}[A,A^*]^{d-t+j-k}H_k \subseteq V_{d-t+s}^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. We have now shown (18). From (18) and the maximality of r we have $r \le d-t$, and so we have shown (17). By the minimality of t, $V_t+\cdots+V_d \subseteq V_{t-1}+\cdots+V_d \subseteq V_{t-1}^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. By the definition of t there exists $x \in V_t+\cdots+V_d$ with $x \notin V_t^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. Combining the previous two sentences we find there exist $v_k^* \in V_k^*$ $(t-1 \le k \le d)$ such that

$$x = v_{t-1}^* + v_t^* + \cdots + v_d^* \qquad \text{with} \qquad v_{t-1}^* \neq 0. \tag{19}$$

By (17), $r-t+2 \ge 0$. Applying $[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}$ to (19) we have

$$[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}v_{t-1}^* = [A,A^*]^{r-t+2}x - [A,A^*]^{r-t+2}(v_t^*+\cdots+v_d^*).$$

Recall $x \in V_t+\cdots+V_d$, and so by Lemma 2.1 we have $[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}x \in V_{r+2}+\cdots+V_d$. By the maximality of r we have $[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}(v_t^*+\cdots+v_d^*) \in V_{r+2}^*+\cdots+V_d^* \subseteq V_{r+2}+\cdots+V_d$. Combining the previous three sentences we have $[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}v_{t-1}^* \in V_{r+2}+\cdots+V_d$. However, by Lemma 2.1, $[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}v_{t-1}^* \in V_{r+1}$, and so $[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}v_{t-1}^* \in V_{r+1} \cap (V_{r+2}+\cdots+V_d)$. From this and since $\sum_{i=0}^{d} V_i$ is a direct sum we have

$$[A,A^*]^{r-t+2}v_{t-1}^* = 0. \tag{20}$$

By (17), $d-t-r \ge 0$. Applying $[A,A^*]^{d-t-r}$ to (20) we have $[A,A^*]^{d-2t+2}v_{t-1}^* = 0$. From this and (3) we have $v_{t-1}^* = 0$, which contradicts (19). We have now shown for $0 \le i \le d$, $V_i+\cdots+V_d \subseteq V_i^*+\cdots+V_d^*$. From this and Note 6.2 we have for $0 \le i \le d$, $V_i^*+\cdots+V_d^* \subseteq V_i+\cdots+V_d$, and we obtain the desired result. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Lemma 2.5.

Proof of Lemma 2.5: First we prove (5). Recall for $0 \leq j \leq d$, V_j is the eigenspace of A corresponding to eigenvalue θ_j. From this and Lemma 6.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}(A-\theta_i I)V_i^* &\subseteq (A-\theta_i I)(V_i^* + \cdots + V_d^*)\\ &= (A-\theta_i I)(V_i + \cdots + V_d)\\ &\subseteq V_{i+1} + \cdots + V_d\\ &= V_{i+1}^* + \cdots + V_d^*.\end{aligned}$$

By (1) we have $(A-\theta_i I)V_i^* \subseteq V_i^* + V_{i+1}^*$. Combining the previous two sentences with the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^d V_i^*$ is a direct sum we obtain (5). From (5) and Note 6.2 we immediately obtain (6). □

Lemma 6.7 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 for* $0 \leq i \leq d$ *and* $0 \leq k \leq d-i+1$*, the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

(i) $[A,A^*]|_{V_i^*} = (\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)(A-\theta_i I)|_{V_i^*}$,

(ii) $[A,A^*]|_{V_i} = (\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i)(A^*-\theta_i^* I)|_{V_i}$,

(iii) $[A,A^*]^k|_{V_i^*} = \prod_{s=i}^{k+i-1}(\theta_s^* - \theta_{s+1}^*)(A-\theta_s I)|_{V_i^*}$,

(iv) $[A,A^*]^k|_{V_i} = \prod_{s=i}^{k+i-1}(\theta_{s+1} - \theta_s)(A^*-\theta_s^* I)|_{V_i}$.

Proof: (i) Let $v \in V_i^*$. By (5) there exists $v' \in V_{i+1}^*$ such that $Av = \theta_i v + v'$. Using this we have

$$\begin{aligned}[A,A^*]v &= (\theta_i^* - A^*)Av\\ &= \theta_i(\theta_i^* - A^*)v + (\theta_i^* - A^*)v'\\ &= (\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)v'\\ &= (\theta_i^* - \theta_{i+1}^*)(A-\theta_i I)v.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Similar to (i).
(iii), (iv) Immediate from (i), (ii) and Lemma 2.5. □

7 The projections E_i, E_i^*

In this section we introduce the linear transformations E_i, E_i^* which will be the main tools used in proving Theorem 5.3.

Definition 7.1 With reference to Assumption 6.1 we define the following linear transformations.

(i) For $0 \leq i \leq d$, we let $E_i : V \to V$ denote the linear transformation satisfying both

$$(E_i - I)V_i = 0,$$
$$E_i V_j = 0 \ \text{ if } \ j \neq i, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d.$$

(ii) For $0 \leq i \leq d$, we let $E^*_i : V \to V$ denote the linear transformation satisfying both

$$(E^*_i - I)V^*_i = 0,$$
$$E^*_i V^*_j = 0 \ \text{ if } \ j \neq i, \qquad 0 \leq j \leq d.$$

In other words, E_i (resp. E^*_i) is the projection map from V onto V_i (resp. V^*_i). For notational convenience we set $E_{d+1} := 0, E^*_{d+1} := 0$.

Lemma 7.2 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 7.1 the following hold.*

$$A\,E_i = E_i\,A = \theta_i\,E_i, \qquad A^*\,E^*_i = E^*_i\,A^* = \theta^*_i\,E^*_i, \qquad 0 \leq i \leq d, \tag{21}$$
$$E_i\,E_j = \delta_{ij}\,E_i, \qquad E^*_i\,E^*_j = \delta_{ij}\,E^*_i, \qquad 0 \leq i, j \leq d, \tag{22}$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} E_i = I, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{d} E^*_i = I, \tag{23}$$
$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \theta_i E_i, \qquad A^* = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \theta^*_i E^*_i. \tag{24}$$

Proof: Immediate from Definition 7.1. □

Lemma 7.3 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 7.1 for* $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, *the following (i),(ii) hold.*

$$\textit{(i)}\ \ E^*_j\,A\,E^*_i = \begin{cases} \theta_i E^*_i, & \text{if } j = i; \\ 0, & \text{if } j - i > 1 \text{ or } i - j \geq 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } j - i = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\textit{(ii)}\ \ E_j\,A^*\,E_i = \begin{cases} \theta^*_i E_i, & \text{if } j = i; \\ 0, & \text{if } j - i > 1 \text{ or } i - j \geq 1; \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } j - i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: (i) Let $v \in V$ and observe $E^*_i v \in V^*_i$. By (5) we have $(A - \theta_i I)E^*_i v = v'$ for some $v' \in V^*_{i+1}$. Multiplying this equation on the left by E^*_j we have

$$(E^*_j A E^*_i - \theta_i E^*_j E^*_i)v = E^*_j v'. \tag{25}$$

Suppose $j = i$. Then by (22), (25) becomes $(E^*_i A E^*_i - \theta_i E^*_i)v = 0$, and so $E^*_i A E^*_i = \theta_i E^*_i$. Now suppose $j - i > 1$ or $i - j \geq 1$. Then by (22), (25) becomes $E^*_j A E^*_i v = 0$, and so $E^*_j A E^*_i = 0$. Now suppose $j - i = 1$. We show $E^*_j\,A\,E^*_i$ is not the zero transformation. Combining Lemma 2.4 with Lemma 6.7(i) we have for $0 \leq i < d/2$ (resp. $d/2 \leq i \leq d$), $(A - \theta_i I)|_{V^*_i} : V^*_i \to V^*_{i+1}$ is an injection (resp. surjection). Thus for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $(A - \theta_i I)|_{V^*_i} : V^*_i \to V^*_{i+1}$ is not the zero transformation. So there exists $w \in V^*_i$ such that

$(A-\theta_i I)E_i^* w = w'$ for some $0 \neq w' \in V_{i+1}^*$. Multiplying this equation on the left by E_j^* we have $E_j^* A E_i^* w = E_j^* w' = w'$ since $j = i+1$. Therefore, $E_j^* \, A \, E_i^*$ is not the zero transformation.
(ii) Immediate from (i) and Note 6.2. □

Lemma 7.4 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 7.1 for* $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, *the following (i),(ii) hold .*

- *(i)* $E_j \, A^{*\,r} \, E_i = 0, \quad 0 \leq r < j - i.$
- *(ii)* $E_j \, A^{*\,r} \, E_i \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq r = j - i.$

Proof: (i) By (2) we have

$$A^{*\,r} V_i \subseteq V_i + V_{i+1} + \cdots + V_{i+r}. \tag{26}$$

By Definition 7.1(i) and since $r + i < j$ we have

$$E_j V_i + E_j V_{i+1} + \cdots + E_j V_{i+r} = 0. \tag{27}$$

Let $v \in V$ and observe $E_i v \in V_i$. Combining (26),(27) we have $E_j A^{*\,r} E_i v = 0$, and so $E_j A^{*\,r} E_i = 0$.
(ii) Combining Lemma 6.7(iv) with (i) and since $r = j - i$ we have

$$E_j \, [A, A^*]^r \, E_i = \Big(\prod_{s=i}^{r+i-1} (\theta^*_{s+1} - \theta^*_s) \Big) \, E_j A^{*\,r} E_i. \tag{28}$$

We now divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: $0 \leq j < d - i$. Since $j = i + r$ we have $0 \leq i < d/2$ and $0 \leq r < d - 2i$. Thus by Lemma 2.4 we have the restriction $[A, A^*]^r|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_j$ is an injection. Let $0 \neq v \in V_i$. Then we have $E_j [A, A^*]^r E_i v \neq 0$. Combining this with (28) we have $E_j A^{*\,r} E_i v \neq 0$. So $E_j A^{*\,r} E_i$ is not the zero transformation.

Case 2: $d - i \leq j \leq d$. First we show

$$[A, A^*]^r|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_j \ \text{ is a surjection.} \tag{29}$$

Suppose $0 \leq i \leq d/2$. By (4) we have $[A, A^*]^{d-2i}|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_{d-i}$ is a bijection. By Lemma 2.4 we have $[A, A^*]^{j+i-d}|_{V_{d-i}} : V_{d-i} \to V_j$ is a surjection. Combining the previous two sentences we obtain (29). Now suppose $d/2 < i \leq d$. Then (29) follows immediately from Lemma 2.4. We have now shown (29). Let $0 \neq v \in V_j$. Then by (29) there exists $v' \in V_i$ such that $E_j [A, A^*]^r E_i v' = E_j v = v \neq 0$. Combining this with (28) we have $E_j A^{*\,r} E_i v' \neq 0$. So $E_j A^{*\,r} E_i$ is not the zero transformation. □

8 The proof of Theorem 5.3

The following two lemmas will be used in proving Theorem 5.3.

Lemma 8.1 *Let $b, \alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma$ denote scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. With reference to Assumption 6.1 suppose $d \geq 1$. Then*

$$AA^* - bA^*A - \alpha A - \alpha^* A^* - \gamma I \quad = \quad 0 \tag{30}$$

if and only if for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, the following (i)–(iii) hold.

(i) $\theta_{i+1} - b\theta_i = \alpha^*$,

(ii) $\theta^*_i - b\theta^*_{i+1} = \alpha$,

(iii) $b\theta_i\theta^*_{i+1} - \theta_{i+1}\theta^*_i = \gamma$.

Proof: Let E_i, E^*_i be as in Definition 7.1. Let C denote the expression on the left in (30). For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we evaluate E_jCE_i using (21) and get

$$E_jCE_i = (\theta_j - b\theta_i - \alpha^*)E_jA^*E_i - (\alpha\theta_j + \gamma)E_jE_i. \tag{31}$$

For $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ we evaluate $E^*_jCE^*_i$ using (21) and get

$$E^*_jCE^*_i = (\theta^*_i - b\theta^*_j - \alpha)E^*_jAE^*_i - (\alpha^*\theta^*_j + \gamma)E^*_jE^*_i. \tag{32}$$

($\Longrightarrow$): Assume (30) holds, so that $C = 0$. We show (i)–(iii) hold. Since $C = 0$ then $E_{i+1}CE_i = 0$. So by (31) and (22) we have $(\theta_{i+1} - b\theta_i - \alpha^*)E_{i+1}A^*E_i = 0$. By Lemma 7.3(ii) we have $E_{i+1}A^*E_i \neq 0$, and so $\theta_{i+1} - b\theta_i - \alpha^* = 0$. We have now shown (i). Since $C = 0$ then $E^*_{i+1}CE^*_i = 0$. So by (32) and (22) we have $(\theta^*_i - b\theta^*_{i+1} - \alpha)E^*_{i+1}AE^*_i = 0$. By Lemma 7.3(i) we have $E^*_{i+1}AE^*_i \neq 0$, and so $\theta^*_i - b\theta^*_{i+1} - \alpha = 0$. We have now shown (ii). Since $C = 0$ then $E_iCE_i = 0$. So by (31), (22), and Lemma 7.3(ii) we have $((\theta_i - b\theta_i - \alpha^*)\theta^*_i - \alpha\theta_i - \gamma)E_i = 0$. From this and since $E_i \neq 0$ we have $(\theta_i - b\theta_i - \alpha^*)\theta^*_i - \alpha\theta_i = \gamma$. Substituting (i),(ii) into this equation and simplifying we have $b\theta_i\theta^*_{i+1} - \theta_{i+1}\theta^*_i = \gamma$. We have now shown (iii). We have now shown (i)–(iii) hold.

($\Longleftarrow$): Assume (i)–(iii) hold. We show $C = 0$. By (23) we have $C = \sum_{j=0}^{d}\sum_{i=0}^{d} E_jCE_i$. So to show $C = 0$ it suffices to show for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, $E_jCE_i = 0$. We divide the argument into three cases.
Case 1: $j - i > 1$ or $i - j \geq 1$. Simplifying (31) using (22) and Lemma 7.3(ii) we have $E_jCE_i = 0$.

Case 2: $j - i = 1$. Simplifying (31) using (22) and (i) we have $E_jCE_i = 0$.

Case 3: $j = i$. Observe (iii) is equivalent to $\theta_i\theta^*_i - b\theta_i\theta^*_i - (\theta_{i+1} - b\theta_i)\theta^*_i - (\theta^*_i - b\theta^*_{i+1})\theta_i = \gamma$. Substituting (i),(ii) into this equation we have $(\theta_i - b\theta_i - \alpha^*)\theta^*_i - \alpha\theta_i - \gamma = 0$. Simplifying (31) using this, (22), and Lemma 7.3(ii) we have $E_jCE_i = 0$. We have now shown for $0 \leq i, j \leq d$, $E_jCE_i = 0$. So $C = 0$, and (30) holds. □

Lemma 8.2 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 suppose* $d \geq 2$*. Then there exist polynomials* $g, h \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ *with* $1 \leq deg\, g \leq d-1$ *and* $0 \leq deg\, h \leq d$ *such that*

$$AA^* - g(A^*)A - h(A^*) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Proof: Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ be as in Assumption 6.1. First we construct the polynomial g. For $1 \leq i, j \leq d$, define the scalars $M_{i,j} = \theta_i^{*\,j-1}$. Let M denote the d by d matrix with (i,j) entry $M_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i,j \leq d$. Since $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$ are distinct and M is a Vandermonde matrix then M is invertible. For $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, define the scalars g_i by the following matrix equation: $[g_0, g_1, \ldots g_{d-1}]^T = M^{-1}[\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_{d-1}^*]^T$. Define $g \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ as $g = \sum_{s=0}^{d-1} g_s \lambda^s$ and observe deg $g \leq d-1$. By construction $M[g_0, g_1, \ldots g_{d-1}]^T = [\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_{d-1}^*]^T$, and so for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$,

$$g(\theta_{i+1}^*) = \theta_i^*. \tag{34}$$

By (34) and since $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$ are distinct we have g is not a constant polynomial. So deg $g \geq 1$. Now we construct the polynomial h. For $0 \leq i,j \leq d$, define the scalars $N_{i,j} = \theta_i^{*\,j}$. Let N denote the $(d+1)$ by $(d+1)$ matrix with (i,j) entry $N_{i,j}$ for $0 \leq i,j \leq d$. Since $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \ldots, \theta_d^*$ are distinct and N is a Vandermonde matrix then N is invertible. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, define the scalars h_i by the following matrix equation:
$[h_0, h_1, \ldots h_d]^T = N^{-1}[\theta_0(\theta_0^* - g(\theta_0^*)),\, \theta_1(\theta_1^* - g(\theta_1^*)),\, \ldots,\, \theta_d(\theta_d^* - g(\theta_d^*))]^T$. Define $h \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ as $h = \sum_{s=0}^{d} h_s \lambda^s$ and observe $0 \leq \deg h \leq d$. By construction for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$h(\theta_i^*) = \theta_i(\theta_i^* - g(\theta_i^*)). \tag{35}$$

We now show (33). Let $v \in V_i^*$, and recall that v is an eigenvector for A^* with eigenvalue θ_i^*. Also, recall $(A - \theta_i I)v \in V_{i+1}^*$ by (5). Using this, (34), and (35) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(AA^* - g(A^*)A - h(A^*))v &= ((A - \theta_i I)A^* + \theta_i A^* - g(A^*)(A - \theta_i I) - \theta_i g(A^*) - h(A^*))v \\
&= (\theta_i^*(A - \theta_i I) + \theta_i^* \theta_i - g(\theta_{i+1}^*)(A - \theta_i I) - \theta_i g(\theta_i^*) - h(\theta_i^*))v \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have now shown for $0 \leq i \leq d$, the left hand side of (33) vanishes on V_i^*. From this we obtain (33) since $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.3: First assume $d \geq 2$. By Lemma 8.2 there exist polynomials $g, h \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ with $1 \leq \deg g \leq d-1$ and $0 \leq \deg h \leq d$ such that

$$AA^* - g(A^*)A - h(A^*) = 0. \tag{36}$$

Let $k = \max(\deg g, \deg h)$ and observe $1 \leq k \leq d$. We now show

$$k = 1. \tag{37}$$

Suppose, towards a contradiction, $k > 1$. Let g_k (resp. h_k) denote the coefficient of λ^k in g (resp. h). For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let E_i, E_i^* be as in Definition 7.1. Multiplying each term in (36) on the left by E_k and on the right by E_0, and evaluating the result using (21) and Lemma 7.4(i) we have $0 = (\theta_0 g_k + h_k)E_k {A^*}^k E_0$. From this and Lemma 7.4(ii) we have

$$0 = \theta_0 g_k + h_k. \tag{38}$$

We now break the argument into two cases.

Case 1: $k = d$. Since $\deg g \le d-1$ we have $g_k = 0$. From this and (38) we have $h_k = 0$. Combining the previous two sentences we have $k = \max(\deg g, \deg h) \le k-1$, for a contradiction. So (37) holds.

Case 2: $k \le d-1$. Multiplying each term in (36) on the left by E_{k+1} and on the right by E_1, and evaluating the result using (21) and Lemma 7.4(i) we have $0 = (\theta_1 g_k + h_k)E_{k+1}{A^*}^k E_1$. From this and Lemma 7.4(ii) we have

$$0 = \theta_1 g_k + h_k. \tag{39}$$

Combining (38) and (39) we have $(\theta_0 - \theta_1)g_k = 0$. Thus, since $\theta_0 \ne \theta_1$ we have $g_k = 0$. From this and (38) we have $h_k = 0$. Combining the previous two sentences we have $k = \max(\text{degree}\, g, \text{degree}\, h) \le k-1$, for a contradiction. So (37) holds.

We have now shown (37), and so $\deg g = 1$ and $\deg h \le 1$. Therefore, there exist scalars $b, \alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma$ in $\mathbb{K}$ with b nonzero such that $g = b\lambda + \alpha$ and $h = \alpha^*\lambda + \gamma$. For this and (36) we obtain (9). We now show the sequence of scalars $b, \alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma$ is uniquely determined by the pair A, A^*. Let $b, \alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma$ denote any sequence of scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ which satisfies (9). Applying Lemma 8.1 for $0 \le i \le d-1$, $\theta_{i+1} - b\theta_i = \alpha^*$. From this we have for $1 \le i \le d-1$, $b = (\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i)/(\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})$, and so b is uniquely determined by A, A^*. From this and Lemma 8.1(i)–(iii) we find α^*, α, γ are also uniquely determined by A, A^*. We have now proved the theorem for the case $d \ge 2$.

Now assume $d = 1$. Let b denote any nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$. Define $\alpha^* := \theta_1 - b\theta_0$, $\alpha := \theta^*_0 - b\theta^*_1$, and $\gamma := b\theta_0\theta^*_1 - \theta_1\theta^*_0$. Applying Lemma 8.1 we have $b, \alpha, \alpha^*, \gamma$ satisfy (9).

Now assume $d = 0$. Let b denote any nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ and let α, α^* denote any scalars in $\mathbb{K}$. Define $\gamma := (\theta_0 - b\theta_0 - \alpha^*)\theta^*_0 - \alpha\theta_0$. Let C denote the left hand side of (9). By (23) we have $C = E_0 C E_0$. Evaluating $E_0 C E_0$ using (21), (22), and Lemma 7.3(ii) we have $C = ((\theta_0 - b\theta_0 - \alpha^*)\theta^*_0 - \alpha\theta_0 - \gamma)E_0$. From this and the definition of γ we have $C = 0$, and (9) holds. □

9 The proof of Theorem 5.8

The following two lemmas will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.8 and in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 9.1 *Adopt Assumption 6.1. Suppose* $d \geq 2$ *and let* b *denote the base of* A, A^*. *Then for* $1 \leq i \leq d-1$,

$$\frac{\theta_{i+1}-\theta_i}{\theta_i-\theta_{i-1}} = b = \frac{\theta^*_i-\theta^*_{i-1}}{\theta^*_{i+1}-\theta^*_i}.$$

Proof: Let b, α, α^* be as in (9). Combining Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 8.1 we have for $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, $\theta_{i+1} - b\theta_i = \alpha^*$ and $\theta^*_i - b\theta^*_{i+1} = \alpha$. From this we have for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, $\theta_{i+1} - b\theta_i = \theta_i - b\theta_{i-1}$ and $\theta^*_i - b\theta^*_{i+1} = \theta^*_{i-1} - b\theta^*_i$. Solving these two equations for b we obtain the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 9.2 *Let* d *denote a nonnegative integer. Let* $\{\beta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ *and* $\{\beta^*_i\}_{i=0}^d$ *denote two sequences of scalars in* $\mathbb{K}$ *such that for* $0 \leq i,j \leq d$ *with* $i \neq j$, $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$, $\beta^*_i \neq \beta^*_j$. *For* $d \leq 1$, *define* $b := 1$. *For* $d \geq 2$, *assume the expressions*

$$\frac{\beta_{i+1}-\beta_i}{\beta_i-\beta_{i-1}}, \qquad \frac{\beta^*_i-\beta^*_{i-1}}{\beta^*_{i+1}-\beta^*_i}$$

are equal and independent of i *for* $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, *and define* b *as their common value. Suppose* $b = 1$. *Then there exists scalars* b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 *in* $\mathbb{K}$ *with* b_2, c_2 *both nonzero such that for* $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\beta_i = b_1 + b_2 2i, \tag{40}$$
$$\beta^*_i = c_1 + c_2(-2i). \tag{41}$$

Suppose $b \neq 1$. *Let* q *denote a scalar in* $\mathbb{K}$ *such that* $b = q^{-2}$. *Then there exists scalars* b'_1, b'_2, c'_1, c'_2 *in* $\mathbb{K}$ *with* b'_2, c'_2 *both nonzero such that for* $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\beta_i = b'_1 + b'_2 q^{-2i}, \tag{42}$$
$$\beta^*_i = c'_1 + c'_2 q^{2i}. \tag{43}$$

Proof: First assume $d \geq 2$. Suppose $b = 1$. Solving the two recurrence relations

$$\frac{\beta_{i+1}-\beta_i}{\beta_i-\beta_{i-1}} = 1 = \frac{\beta^*_i-\beta^*_{i-1}}{\beta^*_{i+1}-\beta^*_i}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq d-1.$$

we find there exists scalars b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 in $\mathbb{K}$ with b_2, c_2 nonzero satisfying (40),(41). Now suppose $b \neq 1$. Solving the two recurrence relations

$$\frac{\beta_{i+1}-\beta_i}{\beta_i-\beta_{i-1}} = q^{-2} = \frac{\beta^*_i-\beta^*_{i-1}}{\beta^*_{i+1}-\beta^*_i}, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq d-1.$$

we find there exists scalars b'_1, b'_2, c'_1, c'_2 in $\mathbb{K}$ with b'_2, c'_2 nonzero satisfying (42),(43). We have now proved the Lemma for the case $d \geq 2$.

Now assume $d = 1$, so that $b = 1$. Define $b_1 := \beta_0$, $b_2 := (\beta_1 - \beta_0)/2$, $c_1 := \beta^*_0$, $c_2 := (\beta^*_0 - \beta^*_1)/2$. Since $\beta_0 \neq \beta_1$, $\beta^*_0 \neq \beta^*_1$ then b_2, c_2 are both nonzero. From these definitions we obtain (40),(41).

Now assume $d = 0$, so that $b = 1$. Let b_2, c_2 denote any nonzero scalars in $\mathbb{K}$ and define $b_1 := \beta_0$, $c_1 := \beta_0^*$. From these definitions we obtain (40),(41). $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.8.

Proof of Theorem 5.8: Adopt Assumption 6.1 and let b denote the base of A, A^*.

First assume $b = 1$. Combining Lemma 9.1 and Lemma 9.2 we have there exist scalars b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 in $\mathbb{K}$ with b_2, c_2 both nonzero such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\theta_i = b_1 + b_2 2i, \tag{44}$$
$$\theta_i^* = c_1 + c_2(-2i). \tag{45}$$

Define the polynomials $\sigma, \tau, \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ as follows:

$$\sigma = b_2^{-1}\lambda - b_1 b_2^{-1} - d, \tag{46}$$
$$\tau = c_2^{-1}\lambda - c_1 c_2^{-1} + d. \tag{47}$$

By Lemma 2.9 $\sigma(A), \tau(A^*)$ is a bidiagonal pair on V with eigenvalue sequence $\{\sigma(\theta_i)\}_{i=0}^d$ and dual eigenvalue sequence $\{\tau(\theta_i^*)\}_{i=0}^d$. Using (46) and (47) we have

$$A = b_2\sigma(A) + (b_1 + b_2 d)I, \tag{48}$$
$$A^* = c_2\tau(A^*) + (c_1 - c_2 d)I. \tag{49}$$

Recall b_2, c_2 are both nonzero. From (48),(49) we have A, A^* is affine equivalent to $\sigma(A), \tau(A^*)$ (taking μ from Definition 2.10 to be the identity map on V). Substituting (48),(49) into (9) and simplifying we have $\sigma(A), \tau(A^*)$ has base 1. From (44)–(47) we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\sigma(\theta_i) = 2i - d$ and $\tau(\theta_i^*) = d - 2i$. Thus, $\sigma(A), \tau(A^*)$ is reduced. We have now shown for $b = 1$ that A, A^* is affine equivalent to a reduced bidiagonal pair.

Now assume $b \neq 1$. Combining Lemma 9.1 and Lemma 9.2 we have there exist scalars b_1', b_2', c_1', c_2' in $\mathbb{K}$ with b_2', c_2' both nonzero such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\theta_i = b_1' + b_2'\, q^{-2i}, \tag{50}$$
$$\theta_i^* = c_1' + c_2'\, q^{2i}. \tag{51}$$

Define the polynomials $\sigma', \tau', \in \mathbb{K}[\lambda]$ as follows:

$$\sigma' = b_2'^{-1} q^d (\lambda - b_1'), \tag{52}$$
$$\tau' = c_2'^{-1} q^{-d} (\lambda - c_1'). \tag{53}$$

Observe $b_2'^{-1} q^d, c_2'^{-1} q^{-d}$ are both nonzero. So by Lemma 2.9 $\sigma'(A), \tau'(A^*)$ is a bidiagonal pair on V with eigenvalue sequence $\{\sigma'(\theta_i)\}_{i=0}^d$ and dual eigenvalue sequence $\{\tau'(\theta_i^*)\}_{i=0}^d$. Using (52) and (53) we have

$$A = q^{-d} b_2' \sigma'(A) + b_1' I, \tag{54}$$
$$A^* = q^d c_2' \tau'(A^*) + c_1' I. \tag{55}$$

Observe $q^{-d}b'_2$, $q^d c'_2$ are both nonzero. From (54),(55) we have A, A^* is affine equivalent to $\sigma'(A), \tau'(A^*)$ (taking μ from Definition 2.10 to be the identity map on V). Substituting (54),(55) into (9) and simplifying we have $\sigma'(A), \tau'(A^*)$ has base q^{-2} which is not 1. Using (50)–(53) we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\sigma'(\theta_i) = q^{d-2i}$ and $\tau'(\theta^*_i) = q^{2i-d}$. Thus, $\sigma'(A), \tau'(A^*)$ is reduced. We have now shown for $b \neq 1$ that A, A^* is affine equivalent to a reduced bidiagonal pair. □

10 The proof of Theorem 5.10

Proof of Theorem 5.10: Let V denote a segregated $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module. Let Y, Z denote an equitable pair in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. We show the action of Y, Z on V is a reduced bidiagonal pair with base 1. By Lemma 3.5, V is a direct sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-submodules. Let W denote one of the irreducible submodules in this sum. First we show the action of Y, Z on W is a bidiagonal pair. By Lemma 3.6, W is isomorphic to $V(d)$ for some nonnegative d. Let $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote the basis for W from Lemma 3.6. Identify the copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ given in Definition 3.1 with the copy given in Theorem 3.2, via the automorphism given in Theorem 3.2. Under this automorphism Z is mapped to h and Y is mapped to $-2f - h$. Using this and Lemma 3.6 we find the action of Z on W is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{d-2i\}_{i=0}^d$ corresponding to eigenvectors $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and also for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$Y.v_i = (2i-d)v_i - 2(i+1)v_{i+1}. \tag{56}$$

Using the previous sentence we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $[Y,Z].v_i = -4(i+1)v_{i+1}$, and so for $0 \leq i \leq d/2$,

$$[Y,Z]^{d-2i}.v_i = (-4)^{d-2i}(i+d-2i)\cdots(i+1)v_{d-i}. \tag{57}$$

For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let $u_i = v_{d-i}$, and observe $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for W. By Lemma 3.6, for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $h.u_i = (2i-d)u_i$ and $e.u_i = (i+1)u_{i+1}$. Composing the automorphism ψ from Remark 3.4 with the automorphism given in Theorem 3.2 we obtain an automorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ which maps Y to h and Z to $2e - h$. Using this automorphism we have the action of Y on W is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{2i-d\}_{i=0}^d$ corresponding to eigenvectors $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and also for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$Z.u_i = (d-2i)u_i + 2(i+1)\,u_{i+1}. \tag{58}$$

Using the previous sentence we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $[Y,Z].u_i = 4(i+1)u_{i+1}$, and so for $0 \leq i \leq d/2$,

$$[Y,Z]^{d-2i}.u_i = 4^{d-2i}(i+d-2i)\cdots(i+1)u_{d-i}. \tag{59}$$

Combining (56)–(59) we have the action of Y, Z on W is a bidiagonal pair. We now show the action of Y, Z on V is a bidiagonal pair. Let $V = \sum_{j=0}^r V(d_j)$ denote the direct sum decomposition of V into irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-submodules. Without loss of generality $d_0 \geq d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_r$. For $0 \leq j \leq r$, our work above has shown the action of Y (resp. Z) on $V(d_j)$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{2i-d_j\}_{i=0}^{d_j}$ (resp. $\{d_j-2i\}_{i=0}^{d_j}$). Since V is segregated either

$\{d_j\}_{j=0}^r \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ or $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^r \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}+1$. Combining the previous two sentences we have the action of Y (resp. Z) on V is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{2i-d_0\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$ (resp. $\{d_0-2i\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$). For $0 \le i \le d_0$, let V_i (resp. V_i^*) denote the eigenspace of Y (resp. Z) corresponding to $2i-d_0$ (resp. d_0-2i). By Lemma 3.6, for $0 \le i \le d$, $\dim(V_i) = \dim(V_{d-i})$ and $\dim(V_i^*) = \dim(V_{d-i}^*)$. Since (56)–(59) hold on each $V(d_j)$ then $Y, Z, \{V_i\}_{i=0}^{d_0}, \{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$ satisfy (1)–(4). Thus, the action of Y, Z on V is a bidiagonal pair with eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence $\{2i-d_0\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$ (resp. $\{d_0-2i\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$). Comparing (7) and (9) we have the base of Y, Z is 1. Combining the previous two sentences we have Y, Z is reduced.

Now let V denote a segregated $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module. Let y, z denote an equitable pair in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. We show the action of y, z on V is a reduced bidiagonal pair with base not equal to 1. By Lemma 4.5, V is a direct sum of irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodules. Let W denote one of the irreducible submodules in this sum. First we show the action of y, z on W is a bidiagonal pair. By [35, Lemma 4.2] and since V is segregated W has a basis $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ such that for $0 \le i \le d$,

$$\begin{aligned} x.u_i &= q^{d-2i}u_i, && (60)\\ (y - q^{2i-d}I).u_i &= (q^{-d} - q^{2i+2-d})u_{i+1}, \qquad u_{d+1} = 0, && (61)\\ (z - q^{2i-d}I).u_i &= (q^{d} - q^{2i-2-d})u_{i-1}, \qquad u_{-1} = 0. && (62) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Omega : W \to W$ denote the invertible linear operator from Lemma 4.4. By Lemma 4.4, $y\,\Omega^2 = \Omega^2\,x$. Using this and (60) we have $y.\,\Omega^2 u_i = q^{d-2i}\,\Omega^2 u_i$. Since Ω^2 is invertible then $\{\Omega^2 u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for W. Combining the previous two sentences we have the action of y on W is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{q^{d-2i}\}_{i=0}^d$ corresponding to eigenvectors $\{\Omega^2 u_i\}_{i=0}^d$. By Lemma 4.4 we have $\Omega^2\,y = z\,\Omega^2$. Using this and applying Ω^2 to (61) we have for $0 \le i \le d$,

$$z.\,\Omega^2 u_i = q^{2i-d}\Omega^2 u_i + (q^{-d} - q^{2i+2-d})\Omega^2 u_{i+1}. \tag{63}$$

Using (63) we have for $0 \le i \le d$, $[y,z].\Omega^2 u_i = q^{-2i-2}(q^2-1)(q^{2i+2}-1)\Omega^2 u_{i+1}$, and so $0 \le i \le d/2$,

$$[y,z]^{d-2i}.\,\Omega^2 u_i = (q^2-1)^{d-2i}\Big(\prod_{j=i}^{d-i-1} q^{-2j-2}(q^{2j+2}-1)\Big)\,\Omega^2 u_{d-i}. \tag{64}$$

For $0 \le i \le d$, let $w_i = u_{d-i}$. So $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for W and by (60) we have for $0 \le i \le d$,

$$x.w_i = q^{2i-d}w_i. \tag{65}$$

By Lemma 4.4, $z\,\Omega = \Omega\,x$. Using this and (65) we have $z\,\Omega w_i = q^{2i-d}\,\Omega w_i$. Since Ω is invertible then $\{\Omega w_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for W. Combining the previous two sentences we have the action of z on W is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{q^{2i-d}\}_{i=0}^d$ corresponding to eigenvectors $\{\Omega w_i\}_{i=0}^d$. From (62) we have for $0 \le i \le d$,

$$(z - q^{d-2i}I).\,w_i = (q^d - q^{-2i-2+d})w_{i+1}. \tag{66}$$

By Lemma 4.4, $\Omega\,z = y\,\Omega$. Using this and applying Ω to (66) we have for $0 \le i \le d$,

$$y.\,\Omega w_i = q^{d-2i}\Omega w_i + (q^d - q^{-2i-2+d})\Omega w_{i+1}. \tag{67}$$

Using (67) we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $[y,z].\Omega w_i = -q^{-2}(q^2-1)(q^{2i+2}-1)\Omega w_{i+1}$, and so for $0 \leq i \leq d/2$,

$$[y,z]^{d-2i}.\,\Omega w_i = (-q^{-2})^{d-2i}(q^2-1)^{d-2i}\Big(\prod_{j=i}^{d-i-1}(q^{2j+2}-1)\Big)\,\Omega w_{d-i}. \tag{68}$$

Recall q is not a root of unity, and so combining (63),(64),(67)(68) and we have the action of y,z on W is a bidiagonal pair. Let $V = \sum_{j=0}^{r} V(d_j,1)$ denote the direct sum decomposition of V into irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-submodules (for $0 \leq j \leq r$, $\epsilon_j = 1$ since V is segregated). Without loss of generality $d_0 \geq d_1 \geq \cdots \geq d_r$. For $0 \leq j \leq r$, our work abovewe has shown the action of y (resp. z) on $V(d_j,1)$ is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{q^{d_j-2i}\}_{i=0}^{d_j}$ (resp. $\{q^{2i-d_j}\}_{i=0}^{d_j}$). Since V is segregated either $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^{r} \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ or $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^{r} \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}+1$. Combining the previous two sentences we have the action of y (resp. z) on V is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{q^{d_0-2i}\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$ (resp. $\{q^{2i-d_0}\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$). For $0 \leq i \leq d_0$, let V_i (resp. V_i^*) denote the eigenspace of y (resp. z) corresponding to q^{d_0-2i} (resp. q^{2i-d_0}). Our work above shows for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\dim(V_i) = \dim(V_{d-i})$ and $\dim(V_i^*) = \dim(V_{d-i}^*)$. Since (63),(64),(67),(68) hold on each $V(d_j,1)$ then $y, z, \{V_i\}_{i=0}^{d_0}, \{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$ satisfy (1)–(4). Thus, the action of y,z on V is a bidiagonal pair with eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence $\{q^{d_0-2i}\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$ (resp. $\{q^{2i-d_0}\}_{i=0}^{d_0}$). Comparing Theorem 4.2 and (9) we have the base of y,z is q^{-2} which is not equal to 1. Combining the previous two sentences we have y,z is reduced. □

11 The subspaces W_i

In this section we introduce a sequence of subspaces $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ of V and develop some of their properties. These subspaces are the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 5.11.

Definition 11.1 With reference to Assumption 6.1 we define for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$W_i := (V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*) \cap (V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-i}).$$

For notational convenience we set $W_{-1} := 0, W_{d+1} := 0$.

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 11.2 *With reference to Definition 6.3 and Definition 11.1, the sequence $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ is a decomposition of V.*

We prove Theorem 11.2 in three steps. First, we show the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{d} W_i$ is direct. Second, we show $V = \sum_{i=0}^{d} W_i$. Finally, we show for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $W_i \neq 0$.

The arguments in this section are essentially the same as the arguments from [16, Section 5]. For the sake of completeness and accessibility we reproduce the arguments here in full.

The following definition and the next two lemmas will be useful in proving the sum $\sum_{i=0}^{d} W_i$ is direct.

Definition 11.3 With reference to Assumption 6.1 we define $-1 \leq i, j \leq d+1$,

$$W(i,j) := \left(\sum_{h=0}^{i} V_h^*\right) \cap \left(\sum_{h=0}^{j} V_h\right),$$

where $\sum_{h=0}^{-1} V_h = 0$, $\sum_{h=0}^{-1} V_h^* = 0$.

With reference to Definition 11.1 observe for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $W(i, d-i) = W_i$

Lemma 11.4 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 11.3 for* $0 \leq i, j \leq d$*, the following (i)–(iv) hold.*

(i) $(A - \theta_j I)W(i,j) \subseteq W(i+1, j-1)$,

(ii) $(A^* - \theta_i^* I)W(i,j) \subseteq W(i-1, j+1)$,

(iii) $AW_i \subseteq W_i + W_{i+1}$,

(iv) $A^*W_i \subseteq W_{i-1} + W_i$.

Proof: (i) Recall V_j is the eigenspace for A corresponding to eigenvalue θ_j. Using Definition 11.3, we have $(A - \theta_j I)W(i,j) \subseteq \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} V_h$. Using Definition 11.3 and (1) we have $(A - \theta_j I)W(i,j) \subseteq \sum_{h=0}^{i+1} V_h^*$. Combining the previous two sentences we obtain the desired result.
(ii) Similar to (i).
(iii), (iv) Immediate from (i),(ii) and Definition 11.1. □

Lemma 11.5 *With reference to Definition 11.3 for* $0 \leq i \leq d-1$*,*

$$W(i, d-1-i) = 0.$$

Proof: Define $T = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} W(i, d-1-i)$. To obtain the result it suffices to show $T = 0$. By Lemma 11.4(ii) we find $A^*T \subseteq T$. Recall A^* is diagonalizable on V and so A^* is diagonalizable on T. Also, for $0 \leq j \leq d$, $V_j^* \cap T$ are the eigenspaces for $A^*|_T$. Thus, $T = \sum_{j=0}^{d}(V_j^* \cap T)$ (direct sum). Suppose, towards a contradiction, $T \neq 0$. Then there exists j $(0 \leq j \leq d)$ such that $V_j^* \cap T \neq 0$. Define $t := \min\{\, i \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq d\,,\, V_i^* \cap T \neq 0\,\}$ and $r := \max\{\, i \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq d\,,\, V_i^* \cap T \neq 0\,\}$. By construction $t \leq r$. We now show

$$r + t \geq d. \tag{69}$$

If $d/2 < t$ then (69) holds since $t \leq r$. So now assume $0 \leq t \leq d/2$. Let $x \in V_t^* \cap T$ such that $x \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.1, we have $[A, A^*]^{d-2t}x \in V_{d-t}^*$. Also, by (3), $[A, A^*]^{d-2t}x \neq 0$. By Lemma 11.4(i), $AT \subseteq T$. Using this and Lemma 6.7(iii), $[A, A^*]^{d-2t}x \in T$. Combining the previous four sentences we have $V_{d-t}^* \cap T \neq 0$. So $d - t \leq r$ and (69) holds. Since $T \neq 0$ there exists j $(0 \leq j \leq d-1)$ such that $W(j, d-1-j) \neq 0$. Define $y := \max\{\, i \,|\, 0 \leq i \leq d-1\,,\, W(i, d-1-i) \neq 0\,\}$. By the definition of T, $T \subseteq V_0^* + \cdots + V_y^*$ and so

$$y \geq r. \tag{70}$$

We now show

$$d - y \geq t + 1. \tag{71}$$

By definition of y, $W(y, d-1-y) \neq 0$. By Definition 11.3, $W(y, d-1-y) \subseteq V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-1-y}$. Combining the previous two sentences with the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^{d} V_i$ is a direct sum we have $W(y, d-1-y) \nsubseteq V_{d-y} + \cdots + V_d$. Therefore, $T \nsubseteq V_{d-y} + \cdots + V_d$. Using this and Lemma 6.6, $T \nsubseteq V^*_{d-y} + \cdots + V^*_d$. So $t < d - y$ and (71) follows. Adding (69), (70), and (71) we have $0 \geq 1$, for a contradiction. Thus, $T = 0$, and the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 11.6 *With reference to Definition 11.1, the sum* $\sum_{i=0}^{d} W_i$ *is direct.*

Proof: To obtain the result it suffices to show for $1 \leq i \leq d$, $(W_0 + \cdots + W_{i-1}) \cap W_i = 0$. By Definition 11.1, $W_0 + \cdots + W_{i-1} \subseteq V^*_0 + \cdots + V^*_{i-1}$ and $W_i \subseteq V_0 + \cdots + V_{d-i}$. From this and Definition 11.3 we have $(W_0 + \cdots + W_{i-1}) \cap W_i \subseteq W(i-1, d-i)$. But $W(i-1, d-i) = 0$ by Lemma 11.5, and so $(W_0 + \cdots + W_{i-1}) \cap W_i = 0$. $\square$

The following definition and the next three lemmas will be used in proving $V = \sum_{i=0}^{d} W_i$.

Recall that $\mathrm{End}(V)$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra consisting of all linear transformations from V to V.

Definition 11.7 With reference to Assumption 6.1, let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}(V)$ generated by $[A, A^*]$.

We will be concerned with the following subspace of V. With reference to Definition 6.4 and Definition 11.7, for $0 \leq i \leq d/2$, define $\mathcal{D}H_i := \mathrm{span}\{\, Xh \mid X \in \mathcal{D},\, h \in H_i \,\}$.

Lemma 11.8 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 6.4 for* $0 \leq i \leq d/2$,

$$\mathcal{D}H_i = \sum_{j=0}^{d-2i} [A, A^*]^j H_i \qquad (\textit{direct sum}), \tag{72}$$

Proof: Define $\Delta := \sum_{j=0}^{d-2i} [A, A^*]^j H_i$. We first show $\mathcal{D}H_i = \Delta$. By construction $\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{D}H_i$. We now show $\mathcal{D}H_i \subseteq \Delta$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is generated by $[A, A^*]$ and since $H_i \subseteq \Delta$ it suffices to show Δ is $[A, A^*]$-invariant. By (10), $[A, A^*]\Delta = \sum_{j=0}^{d-2i-1} [A, A^*]^{j+1} H_i \subseteq \Delta$. So Δ is $[A, A^*]$-invariant and it follows $\mathcal{D}H_i \subseteq \Delta$. We have now shown $\mathcal{D}H_i = \Delta$. It remains to show the sum $\sum_{j=0}^{d-2i} [A, A^*]^j H_i$ is direct. This follows since $\sum_{i=0}^{d} V_i$ is a direct sum and since for $0 \leq j \leq d - 2i$, $[A, A^*]^j H_i \subseteq V_{i+j}$ by Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Lemma 11.9 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 6.4,*

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^{d/2} \mathcal{D}H_i \qquad (\textit{direct sum}).$$

Proof: By (12) and since $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V,

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \sum_{j=0}^{min(i,d-i)} [A, A^*]^{i-j} H_j \qquad (direct\ sum).$$

In this sum we interchange the order of summation to get

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^{d/2} \sum_{j=0}^{d-2i} [A, A^*]^j H_i \qquad (direct\ sum).$$

The result now follows by Lemma 11.8. $\square$

Lemma 11.10 *With reference to Definition 6.4 and Definition 11.1 for* $0 \leq i \leq d/2$,

$$H_i \subseteq W_{d-i}.$$

Proof: Let $h \in H_i$ and observe by (10) that

$$h \in V_0 + \cdots + V_i. \tag{73}$$

Also by (10), $[A, A^*]^{d-2i+1} h = 0$. Using this and Lemma 6.7(iv), $(A^* - \theta^*_{d-i} I) \cdots (A^* - \theta^*_i I)h = 0$. From this we have

$$h \in V_i^* + \cdots + V_{d-i}^* \subseteq V_0^* + \cdots + V_{d-i}^*. \tag{74}$$

Combining (73) and (74) with Definition 11.1 we have $h \in W_{d-i}$, and the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 11.11 *With reference to Definition 11.1,*

$$V = \sum_{i=0}^{d} W_i.$$

Proof: Define $V' := \sum_{i=0}^d W_i$. We show $V = V'$. By construction $V' \subseteq V$. We now show $V \subseteq V'$. By Lemma 11.4(iii),(iv) we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $[A, A^*]W_i \subseteq W_{i-1} + W_i + W_{i+1}$. Thus, $[A, A^*]V' \subseteq V'$. By this and Definition 11.7, $\mathcal{D}V' \subseteq V'$. By Lemma 11.10 for $0 \leq j \leq d/2$, $H_j \subseteq V'$. Combining the previous two sentences we have for $0 \leq j \leq d/2$, $\mathcal{D}H_j \subseteq V'$. From this and Lemma 11.9, $V \subseteq V'$. We have now shown $V = V'$. $\square$

Corollary 11.12 *With reference to Assumption 6.1 and Definition 11.1 for* $0 \leq i \leq d$, *the following (i)–(iii) hold.*

(i) $W_0 + \cdots + W_i = V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*$,

(ii) $\dim(W_i) = \dim(V_i^*)$,

(iii) $W_i \neq 0$.

Proof: (i) Define $\Delta := W_0 + \cdots + W_i$ and $\Gamma := V_0^* + \cdots + V_i^*$. We show $\Delta = \Gamma$. By Definition 11.1, $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$, and so $\dim(\Delta) \leq \dim(\Gamma)$. Thus, to obtain the result it suffices to show $\dim(\Delta) = \dim(\Gamma)$. Suppose, towards a contradiction, $\dim(\Delta) < \dim(\Gamma)$. Then by Lemma 11.6 and since $\sum_{i=0}^{d} V_i^*$ is a direct sum we have

$$\sum_{h=0}^{i} \dim(W_h) < \sum_{h=0}^{i} \dim(V_h^*). \tag{75}$$

By Definition 11.1, $\sum_{h=i+1}^{d} W_h \subseteq \sum_{h=0}^{d-i-1} V_h$. From this, Lemma 2.6, Lemma 11.6 and since $\sum_{i=0}^{d} V_i$ is a direct sum we have

$$\sum_{h=i+1}^{d} \dim(W_h) \leq \sum_{h=i+1}^{d} \dim(V_h). \tag{76}$$

By Lemma 11.6 and Lemma 11.11 we have

$$\dim(V) = \sum_{h=0}^{d} \dim(W_h). \tag{77}$$

Adding (75)–(77) we have $\dim(V) < \sum_{h=0}^{i} \dim(V_h^*) + \sum_{h=i+1}^{d} \dim(V_h)$. Using this and Lemma 2.6 we have $\dim(V) < \sum_{h=0}^{d} \dim(V_h)$. From this and since $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ is a decomposition of V we have $\dim(V) < \dim(V)$, for a contradiction. Thus, $\dim(\Delta) = \dim(\Gamma)$, and the result follows.

(ii) By (i), Lemma 11.6, and since $\sum_{i=0}^{d} V_i^*$ is a direct sum we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\sum_{h=0}^{i} \dim(W_h) = \sum_{h=0}^{i} \dim(V_h^*)$. The result follows immediately from this.

(iii) Since $\{V_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d}$ is a decomposition of V then for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $V_i^* \neq 0$. From this and (ii) the result follows immediately. □

We have now reached the goal of this section.

Proof of Theorem 11.2: Combining Lemma 11.6, Lemma 11.11, and Corollary 11.12 (iii) we immediately obtain Theorem 11.2. □

12 The proof of Theorem 5.11

Throughout this section we adopt Assumption 6.1 along with the additional assumption that A, A^* is reduced. Also throughout this section we let b denote the base of A, A^*.

We now introduce the linear transformation $B : V \to V$ which will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.11(i).

Definition 12.1 With reference to Definition 11.1, we define the following linear transformation. Let $B : V \to V$ be the linear transformation such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, W_i is an eigenspace for B with eigenvalue $2i - d$.

Lemma 12.2 *Suppose $b = 1$. Then with reference Definition 12.1 the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} AA^* - A^*A &= 2A + 2A^*, && (78)\\ A^*B - BA^* &= 2A^* + 2B, && (79)\\ BA - AB &= 2B + 2A. && (80) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since A, A^* is reduced then for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\theta_i = 2i - d, \qquad \theta^*_i = d - 2i. \tag{81}$$

First asume $d = 0$. Then $\theta_0 = 0, \theta^*_0 = 0$, and $V = V_0 = V^*_0 = W_0$. From this we have $A = 0, A^* = 0, B = 0$, and so (78)–(80) hold.

Now assume $d \geq 1$. Combining (81) with Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 8.1 we obtain (78). We now show (79). Using (81) and Lemma 11.4(ii) (with $j = d - i$) we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $(A^* - (d - 2i)I)W_i \subseteq W_{i-1}$. From this and Definition 12.1 we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $(B - (2i - 2 - d)I)(A^* - (d - 2i)I)W_i = 0$. From this we have

$$(BA^* - (d - 2i)B - A^*(2i - d) + 2A^* + (d - 2i)(2i - d)I + 2(2i - d)I)W_i = 0.$$

From this and Definition 12.1, $-(A^*B - BA^* - 2A^* - 2B)W_i = 0$. From this we obtain (79) since $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V. The proof of (80) is similar to the proof of (79). $\square$

We now introduce the linear transformation $B' : V \to V$ which will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.11(ii).

Definition 12.3 Let q denote a nonzero scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ which is not a root of unity. With reference to Definition 11.1, we define the following linear transformation. Let $B' : V \to V$ be the linear transformation such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$, W_i is an eigenspace for B' with eigenvalue q^{d-2i}.

Lemma 12.4 *Suppose $b \neq 1$. Then with reference to Note 5.6 and Definition 12.3 the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{qAA^* - q^{-1}A^*A}{q - q^{-1}} &= I, && (82)\\ \frac{qA^*B' - q^{-1}B'A^*}{q - q^{-1}} &= I, && (83)\\ \frac{qB'A - q^{-1}AB'}{q - q^{-1}} &= I. && (84) \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Since A, A^* is reduced then for $0 \le i \le d$,

$$\theta_i = q^{d-2i}, \qquad \theta^*_i = q^{2i-d}. \tag{85}$$

Combining (85) with Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 8.1 we obtain (82). We now show (83). Using (85) and Lemma 11.4(ii) (with $j = d - i$) we have for $0 \le i \le d$, $(A^* - q^{2i-d}I)W_i \subseteq W_{i-1}$. From this and Definition 12.3 we have for $0 \le i \le d$, $(B' - q^{d-2i+2}I)(A^* - q^{2i-d}I)W_i = 0$. From this we have

$$(B'A^* - q^{2i-d}B' - q^2A^*q^{d-2i} + q^2I)W_i = 0.$$

From this and Definition 12.3, $-q(qA^*B' - q^{-1}B'A^* - (q - q^{-1})I)W_i = 0$. From this we obtain (83) since $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a decomposition of V. The proof of (84) is similar to the proof of (83). □

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.11(i).

Lemma 12.5 *Let h, e, f be as in Definition 3.1 and let X, Y, Z be an equitable basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive dimension. Suppose there are two $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structures on V. Then the following holds.*

Assume the actions of h (resp. Z) on V given by the two module structures agree. Assume the actions of f (resp. Y) on V given by the two module structures agree. Then the actions of e (resp. X) on V given by the two module structures agree.

Proof: First we prove the result involving h, e, f. Let $E_1 : V \to V$ (resp. $E_2 : V \to V$) denote the action of e on V given by the first (resp. second) module structure. We show $(E_1 - E_2)V = 0$. Using Lemma 3.5 and referring to the first module structure, V is the direct sum of irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-submodules. Let W be one of the irreducible submodules in this sum. It suffices to show $(E_1 - E_2)W = 0$. By Lemma 3.6, there exists a nonnegative integer d such that W is isomorphic to $V(d)$. Therefore, the eigenvalues for h on W are $d - 2i$ $(0 \le i \le d)$, and $\dim(W) = d + 1$. Let $w \in W$ be an eigenvector for h with eigenvalue d. By Lemma 3.6, $\{f^i.w\}_{i=0}^d$ is a basis for W. We show by induction that for $0 \le i \le d$, $(E_1 - E_2)f^i.w = 0$. First assume $i = 0$. By Lemma 3.6, $E_1w = 0$. Also by Lemma 3.6, $f^{d+1}.w = 0$ and so $E_2w = 0$. We have now shown $(E_1 - E_2)w = 0$. Next assume $i \ge 1$. By induction we have

$$(E_1 - E_2)f^{i-1}.w = 0. \tag{86}$$

By Definition 3.1 and since the actions of h (resp. f) on V given by the two module structures agree we find $[E_1, f] = [E_2, f]$. Using this we have

$$(E_1f - E_2f)f^{i-1}.w = f.(E_1 - E_2)f^{i-1}.w \tag{87}$$

Combining (86) and (87) we have $(E_1 - E_2)f^i.w = 0$. We have now shown $(E_1 - E_2)W = 0$, and so $(E_1 - E_2)V = 0$. Thus, the actions of e on V given by the two module structures agree.

We now prove the result involving X, Y, Z. Identify the copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ given in Definition

3.1 with the copy given in Theorem 3.2, via the automorphism given in Theorem 3.2. Under this automorphism Z is mapped to h, Y is mapped to $-2f-h$, and X is mapped to $2e-h$. From this and the result involving h, e, f we have the actions of X on V given by the two module structures agree. $\square$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.11(ii).

Lemma 12.6 *Let k, e, f be as in Defnition 4.1 and let $x^{\pm 1}, y, z$ be the equitable generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Let V denote a vector space over $\mathbb{K}$ with finite positive diimension. Suppose there are two $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structures on V. Then the following holds.*

Assume the actions of k (resp. y) on V given by the two module structures agree. Assume the actions of f (resp z) on V given by the two module structures agree. Then the actions of e (resp. $x^{\pm 1}$) on V given by the two module structures agree.

Proof: The result involving k, e, f is proven in [16, Lemma 9.8].

We now prove the result involving $x^{\pm 1}, y, z$. By [35, Corollary 4.5] the action of y on V is invertible . Let y^{-1} denote the inverse of the action of y on V. Identify the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V given by $k^{\pm 1}, e, f$ with the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V given by $x, y^{\pm 1}, z$ via the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} k^{\pm 1} &\rightarrow y^{\pm 1}, \\ f &\rightarrow (z - y^{-1})(q - q^{-1}), \\ e &\rightarrow (1 - yx)q^{-1}(q - q^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

From this and the result involving k, e, f we have the actions of $x^{\pm 1}$ on V given by the two module structures agree. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.11.

Proof of Theorem 5.11:
(i) Suppose $b = 1$. Let Y, Z denote an equitable pair in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Let B be as in Definition 12.1. Comparing Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 12.2 we find there exists a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structure on V such that $(X - B)V = 0$, $(Y - A)V = 0$, and $(Z - A^*)V = 0$. By Lemma 12.5 the action of X on V is uniquely determined by the actions of Y and Z on V. Thus, since $(Y - A)V = 0$, $(Z - A^*)V = 0$ the action of X on V is uniquely determined by A, A^*. We now check this $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structure on V is segregated. Since A, A^* is reduced the dual eigenvalue sequence of A, A^* is $\{d - 2i\}_{i=0}^{d}$. Using the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ automorphism from Theorem 3.2 we have $(h - Z)V = 0$. Combining the previous two sentences with $(Z - A^*)V = 0$ we have the action of h on V has eigenvalues $\{d - 2i\}_{i=0}^{d}$. So if d is even (resp. odd) then $V = V_{even}$ (resp. $V = V_{odd}$). Thus, the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module structure on V is segregated.

(ii) Suppose $b \neq 1$. Let y, z denote an equitable pair in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Let B' be as in Definition 12.3. Comparing Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 12.4 we find there exists a $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V such that $(x-B')V=0$, $(x^{-1}-B'^{-1})V=0$, $(y-A)V=0$, and $(z-A^*)V=0$. By Lemma 12.6 the actions of $x^{\pm 1}$ on V are uniquely determined by the actions of y and z on V. Thus, since $(y-A)V=0$, $(z-A^*)V=0$ the actions of $x^{\pm 1}$ on V are uniquely determined by A, A^*. We now check this $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V is segregated. Using the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ automorphism from Theorem 4.2 we have

$$(k-x)V=0. \tag{88}$$

Let $\Omega : V \to V$ be the invertible linear operator from Lemma 4.4 and recall

$$(\Omega^{-1}z\Omega - x)V=0. \tag{89}$$

Since A, A^* is reduced the dual eigenvalue sequence of A, A^* is $\{q^{2i-d}\}_{i=0}^{d}$. Combining this with (88), (89), and $(z-A^*)V=0$ we have for $0 \neq v \in V_i^*$, $k.\Omega^{-1}v = q^{2i-d}\Omega^{-1}v$. Thus, the action of k on V has eigenvalues $\{q^{2i-d}\}_{i=0}^{d}$. So if d is even (resp. odd) then $V = V_{even}^1$ (resp. $V = V_{odd}^1$). Thus, the $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module structure on V is segregated. □

13 The proof of Theorem 5.1

We now prove Lemma 2.11 which will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.11:
Let A, A^* and B, B^* denote bidiagonal pairs over $\mathbb{K}$. Let V (resp. $\widetilde{V}$) denote the vector space underlying A, A^* (resp. B, B^*). Let

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}) \tag{90}$$

denote the parameter array of A, A^*. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let V_i denote the eigenspace of A corresponding to θ_i, and observe $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ is the standard ordering of the eigenspaces of A.

($\Longrightarrow$): Suppose A, A^* and B, B^* are isomorphic, and let $\mu : V \to \widetilde{V}$ denote an isomorphism of bidiagonal pairs from A, A^* to B, B^*. We show (90) is the parameter array of B, B^*. We first show for $0 \leq i \leq d$, θ_i is an eigenvalue of B. Let $0 \neq v \in V_i$, and observe $\mu v \neq 0$ since μ is injective. By Definition 2.10 we have $B\mu v = \mu A v = \theta_i \mu v$, and so θ_i is an eigenvalue of B. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let $\widetilde{V}_i$ denote the eigenspace of B corresponding to θ_i. We now show for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\widetilde{V}_i = \mu(V_i). \tag{91}$$

First we show $\widetilde{V}_i \subseteq \mu(V_i)$. Let $x \in \widetilde{V}_i$. Since μ is surjective there exists $v \in V$ such that $\mu v = x$. By Definition 2.10 we have $\mu A v = B\mu v = \theta_i x = \mu\theta_i v$, and so $Av = \theta_i v$ since μ is injective. Thus, $v \in V_i$, and so $x \in \mu(V_i)$. We have now shown $\widetilde{V}_i \subseteq \mu(V_i)$. Next we show $\mu(V_i) \subseteq \widetilde{V}_i$. Let $x \in \mu(V_i)$, and let $v \in V_i$ with $x = \mu v$. By Definition 2.10 we have $Bx = \mu A v = \mu\theta_i v = \theta_i x$, and so $x \in \widetilde{V}_i$. We have now shown $\mu(V_i) \subseteq \widetilde{V}_i$, and so (91) holds. We now show for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $B^*\widetilde{V}_i \subseteq \widetilde{V}_i + \widetilde{V}_{i+1}$. Let $x \in \widetilde{V}_i$. By Definition

2.10 and (91) there exists $v \in V_i$ such that $B^*x = \mu A^*v$. From this, (2), and (91) we have $B^*x \in \mu(V_i + V_{i+1}) = \widetilde{V}_i + \widetilde{V}_{i+1}$. We have now shown for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $B^*\widetilde{V}_i \subseteq \widetilde{V}_i + \widetilde{V}_{i+1}$ and so $\{\widetilde{V}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is the standard ordering of the eigenspaces of B. Therefore, $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is the eigenvalue sequence of B, B^*. From this and Note 6.2 we have $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is the dual eigenvalue sequence of B, B^*. We now show $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is the shape of B, B^*. From (91) and since μ is a vector space isomorphsim we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\mu|_{V_i} : V_i \to \widetilde{V}_i$ is a vector space isomorphsim. So, for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\rho_i = \dim(V_i) = \dim(\widetilde{V}_i)$, and thus $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is the shape of B, B^*. We have now shown (90) is the parameter array of B, B^*, and so the parameter array of A, A^* equals the parameter array of B, B^*.

($\Longleftarrow$): Suppose the parameter array of A, A^* equals the parameter array of B, B^*, so that (90) is also the parameter array of B, B^*. We show A, A^* and B, B^* are isomorphic. We now construct certain bases for V and $\widetilde{V}$, and use these bases to define an isomorphism of bidiagonal pairs from A, A^* to B, B^*. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let $\widetilde{V}_i$ denote the eigenspace of B corresponding to θ_i. For $0 \leq i \leq d/2$, let H_i denote the subspace of V defined in (10), and let $h_i := \dim(H_i)$. If $h_i \neq 0$, let $\{v_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{h_i}$ denote a basis for H_i. For the remainder of this proof the indices i, j, k always satisfy $0 \leq i \leq d/2$, $1 \leq j \leq h_i$, and $0 \leq k \leq d - 2i$. Define $v_{i,j,k} := [A, A^*]^k v_{i,j}$ and $v_{i,j,d-2i+1} := 0$, and observe $v_{i,j,k} \in [A, A^*]^k H_i \subseteq V_{i+k}$. By Lemma 2.4, $[A, A^*]^k|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_{i+k}$ is an injection. So $[A, A^*]^k|_{H_i} : H_i \to [A, A^*]^k H_i$ is a bijection, and so $\bigcup_j \{v_{i,j,k}\}$ is a basis for $[A, A^*]^k H_i$. From this and Lemma 11.8 we have $\bigcup_{j,k} \{v_{i,j,k}\}$ is a basis for $\mathcal{D}H_i$. From this and Lemma 11.9 we have $\bigcup_{i,j,k} \{v_{i,j,k}\}$ is a basis for V. We now construct a similar basis for $\widetilde{V}$. Let $\widetilde{H}_i := \{\, v \in \widetilde{V}_i \,|\, [B, B^*]^{d-2i+1} v = 0 \,\}$, and let $\widetilde{h}_i := \dim(\widetilde{H}_i)$. If $\widetilde{h}_i \neq 0$, let $\{\widetilde{v}_{i,j}\}_{j=1}^{\widetilde{h}_i}$ denote a basis for $\widetilde{H}_i$. Define $\widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} := [B, B^*]^k \widetilde{v}_{i,j}$ and $\widetilde{v}_{i,j,d-2i+1} := 0$. Observe $\widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} \in [B, B^*]^k \widetilde{H}_i \subseteq \widetilde{V}_{i+k}$. As before, using Lemma 2.4, Lemma 11.8, and Lemma 11.9 we have $\bigcup_{i,j,k} \{\widetilde{v}_{i,j,k}\}$ is a basis for W. We now show

$$A^* \, v_{i,j,k} = \theta_{i+k}^* \, v_{i,j,k} + (\theta_{i+k+1} - \theta_{i+k})^{-1} \, v_{i,j,k+1}, \tag{92}$$

$$B^* \, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} = \theta_{i+k}^* \, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} + (\theta_{i+k+1} - \theta_{i+k})^{-1} \, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k+1}. \tag{93}$$

First we show (92). By Lemma 6.7(iv) we have $v_{i,j,k} = \prod_{s=i}^{k+i-1} (\theta_{s+1} - \theta_s)(A^* - \theta_s^* I) v_{i,j}$. From this we have $(\theta_{i+k+1} - \theta_{i+k})(A^* - \theta_{i+k}^* I) v_{i,j,k} = v_{i,j,k+1}$ and we obtain (92). The proof of (93) is similar to the proof of (92). We now construct an isomorphism of bidiagonal pairs from A, A^* to B, B^*. Define the linear transformation $\mu : V \to \widetilde{V}$ as follows. Let $\mu(v_{i,j,k}) := \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k}$ and extend μ linearly to V. Since $\bigcup_{i,j,k} \{\widetilde{v}_{i,j,k}\}$ is a basis for $\widetilde{V}$ then μ is a vector space isomorphism. We now show $\mu A = B\mu$. Let $v \in V$. Since $\bigcup_{i,j,k} \{v_{i,j,k}\}$ is a basis for V there exist $c_{i,j,k} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $v = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j,k} \, v_{i,j,k}$. For notational convenience let $c_{i,j,-1} := 0$.

Since $v_{i,j,k} \in V_{i+k}$ and $\widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} \in \widetilde{V}_{i+k}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\, A\, v &= \mu \,\big(\sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j,k}\, \theta_{i+k}\, v_{i,j,k} \big) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j,k}\, \theta_{i+k}\, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j,k}\, B\, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} \\
&= B\, \mu\, v,
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\mu A = B\mu$. We now show $\mu A^* = B^*\mu$. Using (92) and (93) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\, A^*\, v &= \mu \,\big(\sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j,k} \big(\theta^*_{i+k}\, v_{i,j,k} + (\theta_{i+k+1} - \theta_{i+k})^{-1}\, v_{i,j,k+1} \big) \,\big) \\
&= \mu \,\big(\sum_{i,j,k} \big(c_{i,j,k}\, \theta^*_{i+k} + c_{i,j,k-1}\, (\theta_{i+k} - \theta_{i+k-1})^{-1} \big)\, v_{i,j,k} \,\big) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} \big(c_{i,j,k}\, \theta^*_{i+k} + c_{i,j,k-1}\, (\theta_{i+k} - \theta_{i+k-1})^{-1} \big)\, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j,k} \big(\theta^*_{i+k}\, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} + (\theta_{i+k+1} - \theta_{i+k})^{-1}\, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k+1} \big) \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j,k}\, B^*\, \widetilde{v}_{i,j,k} \\
&= B^*\, \mu\, v,
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\mu A^* = B^*\mu$. We have now shown μ is an isomorphism of bidiagonal pairs from A, A^* to B, B^*. So A, A^* and B, B^* are isomorphic. □

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 13.1 *Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^d$ denote a sequence satisfying Theorem 5.1(iii)–(v). Then there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) segregated $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module (resp. segregated $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module) V satisfying the following (i),(ii).*

- *(i) The action of h (resp. k) on V is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{d-2i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{q^{d-2i}\}_{i=0}^d$).*
- *(ii) For $0 \le i \le d$, $\rho_i = dim(U_i)$ where U_i is the eigenspace for the action of h (resp. k) on V corresponding to the eigenvalue $d-2i$ (resp. q^{d-2i}).*

Proof: First we prove the result involving $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. For notational convenience we set $\rho_{-1} := 0$. For $0 \le j \le d/2$, define $d_j := d - 2j$ and $m_j := \rho_j - \rho_{j-1}$. Let $V(d_j)$ denote the finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module from Lemma 3.6. Define $V := \sum_{j=0}^{d/2} V(d_j)^{+m_j}$ where $V(d_j)^{+m_j}$ denotes $V(d_j) + \cdots + V(d_j)$ (m_j times). By construction either $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^{d/2} \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ or $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^{d/2} \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}+1$. From this, Lemma 3.6, and Definition 3.8 we have that the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module V is segregated. By construction, $d = d_0 > d_1 > \cdots > d_{d/2}$. From this and Lemma 3.6 we have the action of h on V is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{d - 2i\}_{i=0}^{d}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d/2$, $\dim(U_i) = m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_i = \rho_i$. For $d/2 < i \leq d$, $\dim(U_i) = m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_{d-i} = \rho_{d-i} = \rho_i$. Therefore for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\dim(U_i) = \rho_i$. By construction, d and the sequence $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ uniquely determine the sequences $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^{d/2}$, $\{m_j\}_{j=0}^{d/2}$. Also, the sequences $\{d_j\}_{j=0}^{d/2}$, $\{m_j\}_{j=0}^{d/2}$ uniquely determine the isomorphism type of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module V. The previous two sentences together prove the uniqueness claim in the result.

The proof of the result involving $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the same as the above argument except use Lemma 4.6 in place of Lemma 3.6, and replace $V(d_j)$ with $V(d_j, 1)$. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1:
($\Longrightarrow$): Adopt Assumption 6.1. We prove the scalars in (8) satisfy Theorem 5.1(i)–(v).

First assume $d = 0$. Theorem 5.1(i),(ii),(v) hold since they are vacuously true. Theorem 5.1(iv) holds since it is trivially true. Recall V_0 is an eigenspace of A, and by Definition 2.7 $\rho_0 = \dim(V_0)$. Thus, ρ_0 is a positive integer, and Theorem 5.1(iii) holds.

Now assume $d \geq 1$. By definition of eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence the sequence $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d}$) is a list of the *distinct* eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*). Thus, Theorem 5.1(i) holds. For $d = 1$, Theorem 5.1(ii) holds since it is vacuously true. For $d \geq 2$, Theorem 5.1(ii) holds by Lemma 9.1. Recall for $0 \leq i \leq d$, V_i is an eigenspace of A, and by Definition 2.7 $\rho_i = \dim(V_i)$. Thus, for $0 \leq i \leq d$, ρ_i is a positive integer, and Theorem 5.1(iii) holds. By Definition 2.7 for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\rho_i = \dim(V_i) = \dim(V_{d-i}) = \rho_{d-i}$ and so Theorem 5.1(iv) holds. By Lemma 2.4 with $0 \leq i < d/2$ and $j = 1$, the restriction $[A, A^*]|_{V_i} : V_i \to V_{i+1}$ is an injection. From this and Definition 2.7 for $0 \leq i < d/2$, $\rho_i = \dim(V_i) \leq \dim(V_{i+1}) = \rho_{i+1}$ and so Theorem 5.1(v) holds.

($\Longleftarrow$): Let d denote a nonnegative integer and let (8) denote a sequence of scalars taken from $\mathbb{K}$ which satisfy Theorem 5.1(i)–(v). We construct a bidiagonal pair A, A^* over $\mathbb{K}$ which has parameter array (8). For $d \leq 1$, define $b := 1$. For $d \geq 2$, define b as the common value of the expressions in Theorem 5.1(ii). We now break the argument into two cases depending of the value of b.

Case 1: $b = 1$. Let V denote the segregated $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-module constructed in Lemma 13.1. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let U_i denote the eigenspace for the action of h on V corresponding to the eigenvalue $d - 2i$. By Lemma 13.1 for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\rho_i = \dim(U_i). \tag{94}$$

Let Y, Z denote an equitable pair in $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. By Theorem 5.10, Y, Z acts on V as a reduced bidiagonal pair with base 1. We now show

$$\text{the parameter array of } Y, Z \text{ is } (\{2i - d\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{d - 2i\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}). \tag{95}$$

Since Y, Z is reduced with base 1 then by Definition 5.7 the eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence of Y, Z is $\{2i-d\}_{i=0}^{d}$ (resp. $\{d-2i\}_{i=0}^{d}$). We now show the shape of Y, Z is $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let Z_i denote the eigenspace for the action of Z on V corresponding to eigenvalue $d-2i$. Identify the copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ given in Definition 3.1 with the copy given in Theorem 3.2, via the automorphism given in Theorem 3.2. Under this automorphism Z is mapped to h. From this we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\dim(Z_i) = \dim(U_i)$. From this and (94) we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\dim(Z_i) = \rho_i$ and so the shape of Y, Z is $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$. We have now shown (95). By Lemma 9.2, there exists scalars b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 in $\mathbb{K}$ with b_2, c_2 both nonzero such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\theta_i = b_1 + b_2 2i, \qquad \theta_i^* = c_1 + c_2(-2i). \tag{96}$$

Define the linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ as follows: $A := b_2 Y + (b_2 d + b_1)I$, $A^* := c_2 Z + (c_1 - c_2 d)I$. Combining Lemma 2.9 , (95), and (96) we have A, A^* is a bidiagonal pair on V with parameter array $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d})$.

Case 2: $b \neq 1$. Let q denote a scalar in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $b = q^{-2}$. Let V denote the segregated $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module constructed in Lemma 13.1. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let U_i denote the eigenspace for the action of k on V corresponding to the eigenvalue q^{d-2i}. By Lemma 13.1 for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\rho_i = \dim(U_i). \tag{97}$$

Let y, z denote an equitable pair in $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. By Theorem 5.10, y, z acts on V as a reduced bidiagonal pair with base not equal to 1. We now show

$$\text{the parameter array of } y, z \text{ is } (\{q^{d-2i}\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{q^{2i-d}\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}). \tag{98}$$

Since y, z is reduced with base not equal to 1 then by Definition 5.7 the eigenvalue (resp. dual eigenvalue) sequence of y, z is $\{q^{d-2i}\}_{i=0}^{d}$ (resp. $\{q^{2i-d}\}_{i=0}^{d}$). We now show the shape of y, z is $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let y_i denote the eigenspace for the action of y on V corresponding to eigenvalue q^{d-2i}. Identify the copy of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ given in Definition 4.1 with the copy given in Theorem 4.2, via the automorphism given in Theorem 4.2. Under this automorphism x is mapped to k. Let $\Omega : V \to V$ denote the invertible linear operator from Lemma 4.4, and recall $\Omega^{-1}x = y\Omega^{-1}$. Combining the previous two sentences we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\dim(y_i) = \dim(U_i)$. From this and (97) we have for $0 \leq i \leq d$, $\dim(y_i) = \rho_i$ and so the shape of y, z is $\{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d}$. We have now shown (98). By Lemma 9.2, there exists scalars b_1', b_2', c_1', c_2' in $\mathbb{K}$ with b_2', c_2' both nonzero such that for $0 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\theta_i = b_1' + b_2' q^{-2i}, \qquad \theta_i^* = c_1' + c_2' q^{2i}. \tag{99}$$

Define the linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ as follows: $A := q^{-d}\, b_2'\, y + b_1'\, I$, $A^* := q^{d}\, c_2'\, z + c_1'\, I$. Combining Lemma 2.9 , (98), and (99) we have A, A^* is a bidiagonal pair on V with parameter array $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^{d}; \{\rho_i\}_{i=0}^{d})$.

The uniqueness claim in Theorem 5.1 follows immediately from Lemma 2.11. $\square$

14 Acknowledgment

The author would like to thank his PhD thesis advisor Paul Terwilliger for his guidance and many helpful suggestions. Many of the ideas in this paper were developed while the author was a graduate student at the University of Wisconsin – Madison.

References

[1] H. Al-Najjar and B. Curtin, *A family of tridiagonal pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **390** (2004), 369–384.

[2] ———, *A family of tridiagonal pairs related to the quantum affine algebra* $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **13** (2005), 1–9.

[3] ———, *A bilinear form for tridiagonal pairs of q-Serre type*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428** (2008), no. 11–12, 2688–2698.

[4] ———, *Leonard pairs from the equitable of* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **20** (2010), 490–505.

[5] R. Askey and J.A. Wilson, *A set of orthogonal polynomials that generalize the racah coefficients or* $6-j$ *symbols*, SIAM J. Math Anal. **10** (1979), 1008–1016.

[6] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics i: Association schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1984.

[7] P. Baseilhac, *Deformed Dolan-Grady relations in quantum integrable models*, Nuclear Phys. B **709** (2005), 491–521.

[8] ———, *An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras*, Nuclear Phys. B **705** (2005), 605–619.

[9] ———, *A family of tridiagonal pairs and related symmetric functions*, J. Phys. A **39** (2006), no. 38, 11773–11791.

[10] G. Benkart and P. Terwilliger, *Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra* $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ *and its Borel subalgebra*, J. Algebra **282** (2004), 172–194.

[11] ———, *The universal central extension of the three-point* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$*loop algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 6, 1659–1668.

[12] ———, *The equitable basis for* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, Mathematische Zeitschrift (2010), published online at www.springerlink.com.

[13] B. Curtin, *Modular Leonard triples*, Linear Algebra Appl. **424** (2007), no. 2–3, 510–539.

[14] ———, *Spin Leonard pairs*, Ramanujan J. **13** (2007), no. 1–3, 319–332.

[15] A. Elduque, *The S_4-action on the tetrahedron algebra*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **137** (2007), no. 6, 1227–1248.

[16] D. Funk-Neubauer, *Raising/lowering maps and modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$*, Comm Algebra **35** (2007), no. 7, 2140–2159.

[17] ______, *Tridiagonal pairs and the q-tetrahedron algebra*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), no. 5–7, 903–925.

[18] B. Hartwig, *Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl **408** (2005), 19–39.

[19] ______, *The tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. **422** (2007), no. 1, 219–235.

[20] B. Hartwig and P. Terwilliger, *The tetrahedron algebra, the Onsager algebra, and the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra*, J. Algebra **308** (2007), no. 2, 840–863.

[21] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1972.

[22] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, and P. Terwilliger, *Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes*, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. (Providence, RI), vol. 56, American Mathematical Society, 2001, pp. 167–192.

[23] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger, *The shape of a tridiagonal pair*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **188** (2004), 145–160.

[24] ______, *q-Inverting pairs of linear transformations and the q-tetrahedron algebra*, Linear Algebra Appl. **426** (2007), no. 2–3, 516–532.

[25] ______, *The q-tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules*, Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), no. 11, 3415–3439.

[26] ______, *Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$*, Ramanujan J. **13** **(1–3)** (2007), 39–62.

[27] ______, *Tridiagonal pairs of Krawtchouk type*, Linear Algebra Appl. **427** (2007), no. 2–3, 218–233.

[28] ______, *Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic q-Serre relations*, J. Algebra Appl. **6** (2007), no. 3, 477–503.

[29] ______, *Finite dimensional irreducible modules for the three-point $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ loop algebra*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 12, 4557–4598.

[30] ______, *Distance regular graphs and the q-tetrahedron algebra*, European J. Combin. **30** (2009), no. 3, 682–697.

[31] ———, *Distance regular graphs of q-Racah type and the q-tetrahedron algebra*, Michigan Math. J. **58** (2009), no. 1, 241–254.

[32] ———, *Tridiagonal pairs of q-Racah type*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), no. 1, 68–93.

[33] ———, *The augmented tridiagonal algebra*, Kyushu J. Math. **64** (2010), no. 1, 82–144.

[34] ———, *How to sharpen a tridiagonal pair*, J. Algebra Appl. **9** (2010), no. 4, 543–552.

[35] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, and C.W. Weng, *The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ and its equitable presentation*, J. Algebra **298** (2006), 284–301.

[36] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[37] R. Koekoek and R.F. Swarttouw, *The Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analog*, (1998), available at http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/ koekoek/research.html.

[38] H.T. Koelink, *Askey-wilson polynomials and the quantum $su(2)$ group: survey and applications*, Acta Appl. Math **44** (1996), 295–352.

[39] ———, *q-Krawtchouk polynomials as spherical functions on the Hecke algebra of type b*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 4789–4813.

[40] T.H. Koornwinder, *Askey-Wilson polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the $su(2)$ quantum group*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **24** (1993), 795–813.

[41] D. Leonard, *Orthogonal polynomials, duality, and association schemes*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), 656–663.

[42] K. Nomura, *A refinement of the split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **403** (2005), 1–23.

[43] ———, *Tridiagonal pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **397** (2005), 99–106.

[44] ———, *Tridiagonal pairs of height one*, Linear Algebra Appl. **403** (2005), 118–142.

[45] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger, *The determinant of $AA^* - A^*A$ for a Leonard pair A, A^**, Linear Algebra Appl. **416** (2006), no. 2–3, 880–889.

[46] ———, *Matrix units associated with the split basis of a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), no. 2–3, 775–787.

[47] ———, *Some trace formulae involving the split sequences of a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **413** (2006), 189–201.

[48] ———, *Affine transformations of a Leonard pair*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra **16** (2007), 389–417.

[49] ——, *Balanced Leonard pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **420** (2007), no. 1, 51–69.

[50] ——, *Linear transformations that are tridiagonal with respect to both eigenbases of a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **420** (2007), no. 1, 198–207.

[51] ——, *The split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **424** (2007), no. 2–3, 339–345.

[52] ——, *Sharp tridiagonal pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 1, 79–99.

[53] ——, *The structure of a tridiagonal pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 7, 1647–1662.

[54] ——, *The switching element for a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **428** (2008), no. 4, 1083–1108.

[55] ——, *Towards a classification of the tridiagonal pairs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 2–3, 503–518.

[56] ——, *Transition maps between the* 24 *bases for a Leonard pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009), no. 5–7, 571–593.

[57] ——, *Tridiagonal pairs and the μ-conjecture*, Linear Algebra Appl. **430** (2009), no. 1, 455–482.

[58] ——, *On the shape of a tridiagonal pair*, Linear Algebra Appl. **432** (2010), no. 2–3, 615–636.

[59] ——, *Tridiagonal pairs of q-Racah type and the μ-conjecture*, Linear Algebra Appl. **432** (2010), no. 12, 3201–3209.

[60] ——, *Tridiagonal matrices with nonnegative entries*, Linear Algebra Appl. **434** (2011), no. 12, 2527–2538.

[61] M. Noumi and K. Mimachi, *Askey-Wilson polynomials as spherical functions on the $su_q(2)$ quantum group*, Quantum Groups, Lecture Notes in Math. (Berlin), vol. 1510, Springer, 1992, pp. 98–103.

[62] H. Rosengren, *Multivariable orthogonal polynomials as coupling coefficients for Lie and quantum algebra representations*, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University, Sweden, 1999, Ph.D. Thesis.

[63] ——, *An elementary approach to the 6j-symbols (classical, quantum, rational, trigonometric, and elliptic)*, Ramanujan J. **13** (2007), 131–166.

[64] P. Terwilliger, *The incidence algebra of a uniform poset*, Math and its applications **20** (1990), 193–212.

[65] ——, *The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I*, J. Algebraic Combin. **1** (1992), 363–388.

[66] ______, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other*, Linear Algebra Appl. **330** (2001), 149–203.

[67] ______, *Two relations that generalize the q-Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations*, Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya) (River Edge, NJ), World Scientific Publishing, 2001, pp. 377–398.

[68] ______, *Leonard pairs from 24 points of view*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **32 (2)** (2002), 827–888.

[69] ______, *Introduction to Leonard pairs*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **153** (2003), no. 2, 463–475.

[70] ______, *Leonard pairs and the q-Racah polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl. **387** (2004), 235–276.

[71] ______, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **34** (2005), 307–332.

[72] ______, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **178** (2005), 437–452.

[73] ______, *Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD-D and the LB-UB canonical form*, J. Algebra **291** (2005), 1–45.

[74] ______, *An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials*, Orthogonal polynomials and special functions, Lecture Notes in Math. (Berlin), vol. 1883, Springer, 2006, pp. 255–330.

[75] ______, *The equitable presentation for the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra $\mathfrak{g}$*, J. Algebra **298** (2006), no. 1, 302–319.

[76] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas, *Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations*, J. Algebra Appl. **3** (2004), 411–426.

[77] M. Vidar, *Tridiagonal pairs of shape (1,2,1)*, Linear Algebra Appl. **429** (2008), no. 1, 403–428.

[78] R. Vidunas, *Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey-Wilson relations*, Linear Algebra Appl. **422** (2007), no. 1, 39–57.

[79] ______, *Askey-Wilson relations and Leonard pairs*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008), no. 4, 479–495.

[80] A.S. Zhedanov and A. Korovnichenko, *Leonard pairs in classical mechanics*, J. Phys. A **5** (2002), 5767–5780.

Darren Funk-Neubauer
Department of Mathematics and Physics
Colorado State University - Pueblo
2200 Bonforte Boulevard
Pueblo, CO 81001 USA
email: `darren.funkneubauer@colostate-pueblo.edu`
phone: (719) 549 - 2693
fax: (719) 549 - 2962